

REPORT OF SUPPLEMENTAL SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

YORK COUNTY FAMILY COURT ROCK HILL, SOUTH CAROLINA

ECS PROJECT NO. 08-11615-D JANUARY 13, 2017 REVISED FEBRUARY 8, 2017

REPORT OF SUPPLEMENTAL SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

York County Family Court Rock Hill, South Carolina

Prepared For:

York County #6 South Congress Street York, South Carolina 29745

Prepared By:

ECS SOUTHEAST, LLP

1812 Center Park Drive, Suite D Charlotte, North Carolina 28217

ECS Project No:

08-11615-D

Report Date:

January 13, 2017 Revised February 8, 2017



"Setting the Standard for Service"

NC Registered Engineering Firm F-1078 NC Registered Geologists Firm C-406 SC Registered Engineering Firm 3239

January 13, 2017 Revised February 8, 2017

Ms. Lisa Hagood York County Engineering 6 South Congress Street York, South Carolina 29745

Report of Supplemental Subsurface Exploration Reference: York County Family Court Rock Hill, South Carolina ECS Project No: 08-11615-D

Dear Ms. Hagood:

ECS Southeast, LLP (ECS) has completed the supplemental subsurface exploration for the above referenced project. This project was authorized and performed in general accordance with ECS Proposal No. 08-20420P dated December 12, 2016. This supplemental report presents our findings, conclusions, and recommendations for design and construction of the project.

ECS Southeast, LLP appreciates the opportunity to assist you during this phase of the project. If you have questions concerning this report, please contact our office.

Respectfully,

ECS SOUTHEAST, LLP

Robert L. Hendrick, III, E.I. **Project Manager**



Lee J. McGuinness, P.E. **Principal Engineer** S.C. Registration No. 23033



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Project Information

The site is located southwest of the intersection of West Main Street and Colby Avenue in Rock Hill, South Carolina as shown on the Site Vicinity Map (Figure 1) included in the Appendix. The site is currently undeveloped and heavily wooded. We understand the site will include the construction of a new 4 story family court building with associated parking/drive areas. We understand that a partial basement is planned for the southern portion of the structure.

Based on the topographical map provided, the site appears to generally slope downwards from the north to the south. Ground surface elevations in the vicinity of the structure generally range from approximately 615 feet to 622 feet for a relief of approximately 7 feet. The first floor Finish Floor elevation (FFE) is currently planned at 626 feet. The basement FFE is currently planned for 614 feet. Therefore, we anticipate maximum cut and fill depths will be on the order of 3 feet and 12 feet below existing grades.

Construction methodology has not been provided to us at this time; however, the structure will be 4 stories. Structural loading was provided by Moseley Architects. We anticipate that maximum column and wall footing loads will not exceed 300 kips and 4 kips per linear foot, respectively. No other information was provided to us at the time of this proposal.

ECS has previously performed a Preliminary Subsurface Exploration at the site. Please refer to our report titled "Report of Preliminary Exploration, York County Potential Sites – Family Court (Parcel 2)" dated April 15, 2016 for more information regarding the site.

Should any of this information be incorrect or if additional information becomes available, ECS should be provided the opportunity to review our recommendations with regards to the new information and recommend additional exploration as deemed necessary for the proposed construction.

1.2. Scope of Services

Our scope of services for this phase of the project included a supplemental subsurface exploration with soil test borings and preparation of this report with our recommendations. Twenty-five (25) soil test borings (B-1 through B-25) were drilled during the preliminary exploration as well as six (6) additional borings (B-101 through B-106) were drilled during this supplemental exploration. The borings were drilled to depths ranging from approximately 10 to 25 feet below existing grades. Approximate boring locations are shown on the Test Location Diagram (Figure 2) included in the Appendix. The soil borings were performed using an ATV-mounted drill rig using continuous-flight, hollow-stem augers.

2. FIELD SERVICES

2.1. Test Locations

The soil boring locations and depths were provided to us by York County. The borings were then located in the field by ECS using GPS and existing landmarks as reference. The approximate test locations are shown on the Boring Location Diagram (Figure 2) presented in the Appendix of this report. At the completion of preliminary soil boring testing (Borings B-1 through B-25), the owner contracted a surveyor to provide the northing, easting, and collar elevations of each boring location. These coordinates and elevations are presented on the

borelogs. ECS has provided the approximate elevations of the supplemental borings based on the provided topographic survey. Surveying the boring locations was beyond our scope of services.

2.2. Soil Test Borings

A total of thirty-one (31) preliminary and supplemental soil test borings were drilled to evaluate the stratification and engineering properties of the subsurface soils. Standard Penetration Tests (SPT's) were performed at designated intervals in general accordance with ASTM D 1586. The Standard Penetration Test is used to provide an index for estimating soil strength and density. In conjunction with the penetration testing, split-barrel soil samples were recovered for soil classification at each test interval. Boring Logs are included in the Appendix.

The drill crew also maintained a field log of the soils encountered at each of the boring locations. After recovery, each sample was removed from the auger and visually classified. Representative portions of each sample were then sealed and brought to our laboratory in Charlotte, North Carolina for further visual examination and potential lab testing. Groundwater measurements were attempted at the termination of drilling at each boring location.

3. LABORATORY SERVICES

Soil samples were collected from the borings and examined in our laboratory to check field classifications and to determine pertinent engineering properties. Data obtained from the borings, laboratory testing, and our visual/manual examinations are included on the respective boring logs in the Appendix.

3.1. Soil Classification

A geotechnical staff professional classified each soil sample on the basis of color, texture, and plasticity characteristics in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). The geotechnical professional then grouped the various soil types into the major zones noted on the boring logs. The stratification lines designating the interfaces between earth materials on the boring logs and profiles are approximate; in situ, the transition between strata may be gradual in both the vertical and horizontal directions. No laboratory testing services were proposed for this phase of the investigation. The results of the visual classifications are presented on the Boring Logs included in the Appendix.

3.2. Laboratory Testing

In addition to visual classification, ECS performed twelve (12) natural moisture content tests, two (2) Atterberg Limits tests, and two (2) percent fines tests on selected soil samples obtained from within the preliminary borings. The laboratory testing was performed in general accordance with the applicable ASTM standards. The results of the laboratory testing are presented on the respective Boring Logs included in the Appendix.

4. SITE AND SUBSURFACE FINDINGS

4.1. Area Geology

The site is located in the Piedmont Physiographic Province of South Carolina. The native soils in the Piedmont Province consist mainly of residuum with underlying saprolites weathered from the parent bedrock, which can be found in both weathered and unweathered states. Although the surficial materials normally retain the structure of the original parent bedrock, they typically

Ms. Lisa Hagood ECS Project No. 08-11615-D January 12, 2017 Revised February 8, 2017

have a much lower density and exhibit strengths and other engineering properties typical of soil. In a mature weathering profile of the Piedmont Province, the soils are generally found to be finer grained at the surface where more extensive weathering has occurred. The particle size of the soils generally becomes more granular with increasing depth and gradually changes first to weathered and finally to unweathered parent bedrock. The mineral composition of the parent rock and the environment in which weathering occurs largely control the resulting soil's engineering characteristics. The residual soils are the product of the weathering of the parent bedrock.

4.2. Subsurface Conditions

The subsurface conditions at the site, as indicated by the borings, generally consisted of residual soils, partially weathered rock (PWR), and auger refusal materials to the depths explored. The generalized subsurface conditions are described below. For soil stratification at a particular test location, the respective Boring Log found in the Appendix should be reviewed.

A layer of topsoil (organic laden soils), approximately 2 to 6 inches thick, was encountered at the ground surface at each boring location with the exception of Boring B-106. Approximately 1.5 inches of asphalt underlain by approximately 2 inches of gravel was encountered at the ground surface at Boring B-106. However, these values are driller reported and should not be used in determining topsoil removal quantities.

Residual soils were encountered below the surficial materials at each boring location. Residual soils are formed by the in-place chemical and mechanical weathering of the parent bedrock. The residual soils encountered in the borings generally consisted of Sandy Fat CLAY (CH), Sandy CLAY (CL), Elastic SILT (MH), Sandy SILT (ML) and Silty SAND (SM) exhibiting SPT N-values ranging from 3 to 82 blows per foot (bpf), with a majority of the N-values ranging between 9 and 24 bpf.

Partially weathered rock (PWR) was encountered beneath the residual soils at Borings B-11 and B-20 through B-24. The top of PWR was encountered at depths ranging from approximately 12 to 22 feet below existing grades. PWR is defined as residual material exhibiting SPT N-values greater than 100 bpf. The PWR encountered consisted of Silty SAND (SM) exhibiting SPT N-values ranging from 50 blows per 5 inches of penetration to 50 blows per 0 inches of penetration.

Auger refusal was encountered at Borings B-11 and B-20 through B-24 at depths ranging from approximately 15 to 24.3 feet below the existing ground surface. Auger refusal indicates the presence of material that permitted no further advancement of the hollow stem auger or split spoon sampler. Rock coring would have been required to evaluate the character and continuity of the refusal materials; however, rock coring was beyond the scope of this exploration.

4.3. Groundwater Observations

Groundwater measurements were attempted at the termination of drilling at the time of our exploration. Groundwater was encountered at Borings B-8 through B-10, B-12 through B-21, B-23 through B-25, B-101, and B-106 at depths ranging from approximately 6 to 24 feet below the existing ground surface. The remaining boreholes were dry at the time of our exploration. Borehole cave-in depths were observed at each boring location at depths ranging from 8.5 to 22.6 feet below the existing ground surface. Cave-in of a soil test boring can be caused by groundwater hydrostatic pressure, weak soil layers, and/or drilling activities (i.e. drilling fluid circulation or advancement of bit).

Ms. Lisa Hagood ECS Project No. 08-11615-D January 12, 2017 Revised February 8, 2017

Fluctuations in the groundwater elevation should be expected depending on precipitation, runoff, utility leaks, and other factors not evident at the time of our evaluation. Normally, highest groundwater levels occur in late winter and spring and the lowest levels occur in late summer and fall. Depending on time of construction, groundwater may be encountered at shallower depths and locations not explored during this study. If encountered during construction, engineering personnel from our office should be notified immediately.

4.4. Laboratory Test Results

Moisture content test results of the sampled soils range from 18.5 to 31.5 percent. Atterberg Limits were performed on selected soil samples from Borings B-6 and B-20 resulting in a Liquid Limit (LL) ranging from 51 to 70 and a Plasticity Index (PI) ranging from 24 to 32. The portion of the samples tested was USCS classified as Elastic SILT (MH) and Fat CLAY (CH). For laboratory test results at a particular test location, the Laboratory Test Summary sheet found in the Appendix should be reviewed.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The preliminary and supplemental borings performed at this site represent the subsurface conditions at the location of the borings. Due to inconsistencies associated with the prevailing geology, there can be changes in the subsurface conditions over relatively short distances that have not been disclosed by the results of the test location performed. Consequently, there may be undisclosed subsurface conditions that require special treatment or additional preparation once these conditions are revealed during construction.

Our evaluation of subgrade conditions has been based on our understanding of the site, project information and the data obtained in our exploration. The general subsurface conditions utilized in our foundation evaluation have been based on interpolation of subsurface data between and away from the borings. In evaluating the boring data, we have examined previous correlations between penetration resistance values and foundation bearing pressures observed in soil conditions similar to those at your site.

5.1. Organic Laden Soils

A layer of organic laden soil, approximately 2 to 6 inches thick, was encountered at the ground surface at each boring location. Please note that the recorded topsoil depths noted on the boring logs are driller reported and should not be used in cost estimating topsoil removal.

The surficial organic laden soil is typically a dark-colored soil material containing roots, fibrous matter, and/or other organic components, and is generally unsuitable for support of engineering fill, foundations, or slabs-on-grade. ECS has not performed laboratory testing to determine the organic content or other horticultural properties of the organic laden soils at the site. Therefore, the phrase "organic laden soil" is not intended to indicate suitability for landscaping and/or other purposes. Please note that the transition from organic laden soils to underlying materials may be gradual. Actual organic laden soil depths should be expected to vary and generally increases with the amount of vegetation present over the site.

5.2. Moisture Sensitive Soils (MH and CH)

Elastic SILTS (MH) were encountered at Borings B-1 through B-20, B-22 through B-24, and B-101 through B-106 and Fat CLAYS (CH) were encountered at Boring B-20 and extended to depths ranging from approximately 3 to 17 feet below existing ground surface. Soils classified as

MH/CH are fine-grained and have a Liquid Limit greater than 50 percent. Additionally, MH/CH soils are moisture sensitive soils and tend to shrink and swell with moisture variations.

Please note that the soils encountered were classified using the visual/manual method of classification with limited laboratory testing. However, the laboratory testing performed indicated Plasticity Indices of (PI's) of 32 and 24. Therefore, we anticipate the potential for shrink and swell of the on-site MH/CH soils is moderate to high.

MH soils with a plasticity index greater than 30 or CH soils should not be used for direct support of foundations, slabs-on-grade, or pavements. MH soils with PI's greater than 30 or CH soils encountered within proposed structural areas should be undercut and replaced with low plasticity engineered fill to a minimum depth of 2 feet below foundations and 2 feet below subgrade elevations in slab and pavement areas. Upon completion of the removal of the moisture sensitive soils, the resulting subgrade soils should be evaluated for stability prior to placement of engineered fill.

If encountered in proposed fill areas, MH/CH soils within the building footprint or parking/drive areas that will receive 2 feet or more of engineered fill may be left in place provided these soils are stable and can pass a proofroll. The quality of the subgrade soils should be evaluated by the geotechnical engineer on a case-by-case basis in order to evaluate the need for remediation. Remediation methods may include shallow isolated undercutting and/or the use of geotextiles.

5.3. Seismic Site Classification

The International Building Code (IBC) requires that the stiffness of the top 100-ft of soil profile be evaluated in determining a site seismic classification. The method for determining the Site Class is presented in Section 1615 of the code. The seismic Site Class is typically determined by calculating a weighted average of the N-values or shear wave velocities recorded to a depth of 100 feet within the proposed building footprint. Based on the SPT N-values obtained within the drilled depth of borings, a seismic site class of "D" is considered appropriate for this project.

5.4. Structure Foundations

A shallow foundation system consisting of spread footings may be appropriate to support the proposed buildings. Provided the recommendations outlined herein are implemented, a net allowable soil bearing pressure up to 2,500 psf for foundations bearing on firm undisturbed low plasticity residual soil or newly-placed engineered fill is feasible.

As previously mentioned, MH soils with a plasticity index greater than 30 and CH soils should not be used for direct support of foundations or slabs-on-grade. These soils, if encountered, should be undercut and replaced with approved engineered fill to a minimum depth of 2 feet below foundations provided that the resulting subgrade is stable.

For this type of project, minimum wall and column footing dimensions of 18 and 24 inches, respectively, should be maintained to reduce the possibility of a localized, "punching" type, shear failure. Exterior foundations and foundations in unheated areas should be embedded deep enough below exterior grades to reduce potential movements from frost action or excessive drying shrinkage. For this region, we recommend footings bear at least 18 inches below finished grade.

Ms. Lisa Hagood ECS Project No. 08-11615-D January 12, 2017 Revised February 8, 2017

Total settlement is anticipated to be less than 1 inch, while differential settlement between columns is anticipated to be less than ½ inch for shallow foundations bearing on low plasticity residual soil or newly-placed structural fill. Foundation geometry, loading conditions, and/or bearing strata different than those described in this report may result in magnitudes of settlement inconsistent with the previous estimates.

5.5. Slab on Grade Support

Slabs-on-grade can be adequately supported on undisturbed residual soils or on new properly placed structural fill provided the site preparation and fill recommendations outlined herein are implemented. For a properly prepared site, a modulus of subgrade reaction (k_s) for the soil of 90 pounds per cubic inch for the soil can be used. This value is representative of a 1-ft square loaded area and may need to be adjusted depending on the size and shape of the loaded area and depending on the method of structural analysis.

MH soils (PI's greater than 30) and CH soils should not be used for direct support of slabs-ongrade. MH soils (PI's greater than 30) and CH soils encountered should be undercut and replaced with approved engineered fill to a minimum depth of 2 feet below slabs-on-grade provided that the resulting subgrade is stable.

ECS recommends that the slab be isolated from the footings so differential settlement of the structure will not induce shear stresses on the floor slab. We also recommend the slab-ongrade be underlain by a minimum of 4 inches of granular material having a maximum aggregate size of 1½ inches and no more than 2 percent fines. Prior to placing the granular material, the floor subgrade soil should be properly compacted, proofrolled, and free of standing water, mud, and frozen soil. A properly designed and constructed capillary break layer can often eliminate the need for a moisture retarder and can assist in more uniform curing of concrete. If a vapor retarder is considered to provide additional moisture protection, special attention should be given to the surface curing of the slabs to minimize uneven drying of the slabs and associated cracking and/or slab curling. The use of a blotter or cushion layer above the vapor retarder can also be considered for project specific reasons.

Please refer to ACI 302.1R04 Guide for Concrete Floor and Slab Construction and ASTM E 1643 Standard Practice for Installation of Water Vapor Retarders Used in Contact with Earth or Granular Fill Under Concrete Slabs for additional guidance on this issue.

Also, in order to minimize the crack width of shrinkage cracks that may develop near the surface of the slab, we recommend mesh reinforcement as a minimum be included in the design of the floor slab. For maximum effectiveness, temperature and shrinkage reinforcements in slab-on-grade should be positioned in the upper third of the slab thickness. The Wire Reinforcement Institute recommends the mesh reinforcement be placed 2 inches below the slab surface or upper one-third of slab thickness, whichever is closer to the surface.

Adequate construction joints, contraction joints and isolation joints should also be provided in the slab to reduce the impacts of cracking and shrinkage. Please refer to ACI 302.1R04 Guide for Concrete Floor and Slab Construction for additional information regarding concrete slab joint design.

5.6. Lateral Earth Pressures

ECS understands that basement walls will likely be used for the building. Specifics regarding the below grade walls (i.e. location, height, length, loading, etc.) were unknown at the time of this report. Retaining walls should be designed to withstand the lateral earth pressures exerted upon them, and to resist additional lateral pressures generated by surcharge loads such as traffic loads, adjacent slab loads or from foundations bearing behind the walls.

For wall conditions where wall movement cannot be tolerated or where the wall is restrained at the top, such as the loading dock walls, the "At Rest" earth pressure should be used. For wall conditions where outward wall movement on the order of 0.5 percent of the wall height can be tolerated, the "Active" earth pressure should be used. In the design of loading dock walls to restrain compacted backfill, engineered fill or in-situ residual soils, the coefficient of lateral earth pressure can be used to determine lateral earth pressure loads. Please note that the values presented below are for on-site ML and SM materials. If the wall backfill is imported to the site, ECS should be contacted to review the lateral earth pressure coefficients provided. *Moderately to highly elastic/plastic soils (CL, MH, and CH) should not be utilized behind earth retaining structures.*

Soil Parameter	Coefficient of Lateral Earth
	Pressure
"At Rest" Earth Pressure (K _o)	0.56
"Active" Earth Pressure (K _a)	0.39
"Passive" Earth Pressure (Kp)	2.56

The lateral earth pressure values presented above assume level backfill fill behind the wall, and do not account for hydrostatic pressures against the walls or surcharge loads from overlying or nearby construction.

Resistance to sliding can be provided by friction between the bottom of the wall foundation and the underlying soils and by passive resistance of soil adjacent to the wall foundation. The passive resistance should only be used in situations where the soil adjacent to the toe of the wall will not be eroded or otherwise removed in the future. A coefficient of friction of 0.35 for concrete bearing on approved soils is recommended.

Drainage behind freestanding retaining walls is considered essential towards relieving hydrostatic pressures. Drainage can be established by providing a perimeter drainage system located just above the below grade/retaining wall footings which discharges by gravity flow to a suitable outlet. This system should consist of "perforated pipe" or "porous wall", closed-joint drain lines. These drain lines should be surrounded by a minimum 6 inches of free-draining, granular filter material having a gradation compatible with the size of the openings utilized in the drain lines and the surrounding soils to be retained, or by gravel wrapped in filter fabric. The space between the interior face of the wall and the earth fill should be backfilled with a granular fill of porous quality or better extending from the perimeter drainage system to just below the top of the wall. To prevent frost heave effects from acting against these walls, the granular backfill should be capped with pavement, concrete, or a 12-inch layer of low permeable silt or clay to minimize the seepage of water into that backfill from the surface. The ground surface adjacent to the below-grade walls should be kept properly graded to prevent ponding of water adjacent to the walls.

5.7. Pavement Considerations

For the design and construction of exterior pavements, the subgrades should be prepared in accordance with the recommendations in the "Site and Subgrade Preparation" and "Engineered Fill" sections of this report.

As previously discussed, MH soils (PI's greater than 30) and CH soils should not be used for direct support of pavements. MH soils (PI's greater than 30) and CH soils encountered should be undercut and replaced with approved engineered fill to a minimum depth of 2 feet below pavements provided that the resulting subgrade is stable.

An important consideration with the design and construction of pavements is surface and subsurface drainage. Where standing water develops, either on the pavement surface or within the aggregate base course layer, softening of the subgrades and other problems related to the deterioration of the pavement can be expected. Furthermore, good drainage should help reduce the possibility of the subgrade materials becoming saturated during the normal service period of the pavement.

Based on our past experience with similar facilities and subsurface conditions, we present the following design pavement sections, provided the recommendations contained in this report are implemented. We have developed the pavement sections recommended below using AASHTO guidelines with an assumed CBR value of 4, which is typical of the on-site soils encountered. The provided pavement sections assume the existing subgrades are satisfactorily evaluated during proofroll and repaired in accordance with the geotechnical engineer's recommendations. Based upon our previous experience with similar projects, ECS has estimated the provided pavement sections based upon a 20 year life, with equivalent single axle loadings of approximately 20,000 and 100,000 ESALs for light-duty and heavy-duty pavements, respectively.

Material Designation	Light Duty Asphalt Pavement	Heavy Duty Asphalt Pavement	Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) Pavement
Asphalt Surface Course (Type C)	3 inches	1.5 inches	-
Binder/Intermediate Course (Type C)	-	2.5 inches	-
Portland Cement Concrete	-	-	6 inches
Aggregate Base Course	6 inches	8 inches	6 inches

PAVEMENT SECTION RECOMMENDATIONS

ECS should be allowed to review these recommendations and make appropriate revisions based upon the formulation of the final traffic design criteria for the project. It is important to note that the design sections do not account for construction traffic loading.

The aggregate base course materials beneath pavements and sidewalks should be compacted to at least 95 percent of their modified Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D 1557).

Front-loading trash dumpsters frequently impose concentrated front-wheel loads on pavements during loading. This type of loading typically results in rutting of bituminous pavements and ultimately pavement failures and costly repairs. Similarly, drive-thru lanes also create severe

risk of rutting and scuffing. Therefore, we suggest that the pavements in trash pickup and drivethru areas utilize the aforementioned Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) pavement section. It may be prudent to use rigid pavement sections in all areas planned for heavy bus/truck traffic. Such a PCC section would typically consist of 6 inches of 4,000 psi, air-entrained concrete over not less than 6 inches of compacted aggregate base course. Appropriate steel reinforcing and jointing should also be incorporated into the design of all PCC pavements.

It should be noted that these design recommendations may not satisfy the South Carolina Department of Transportation traffic guidelines. Any roadways constructed for public use and to be dedicated to the State for repair and maintenance must be designed in accordance with the State requirements.

5.8. Excavation Characteristics

We anticipate a majority of the near-surface subgrade soils at the site can be excavated with backhoes, front-end loaders or other similar equipment using conventional means and methods. However, please note that PWR was encountered at Borings B-11 and B-22 through B-24 at depths ranging from approximately 17 to 22 feet below existing grades. The depth of PWR on this site should not be an issue for site development. However, partially weathered rock depths should be taken into consideration by the site civil designer when developing foundation, storm drainage, and utility plans.

We would like to point out that our experience indicates rock in a weathered, boulder, and/or massive form varies erratically in location and depth within the Piedmont Geologic Province, of which Rock Hill is part. Due to the variability of the Piedmont soils, there is always a potential that these materials could be encountered at shallower depths between the boring locations. The depth to, and thickness of weathered rock, rock lenses or seams, and bedrock, can vary dramatically in short distances and between boring locations; therefore, weathered rock and/or bedrock should be anticipated during construction at locations or depths, between boring locations, not encountered during this exploration.

Typically, in mass excavation for general site work, materials with an N-value of 50 blows per 3 to 6 inches of penetration can be excavated with moderate to heavy effort using appropriately sized equipment, such as a large track-hoe (e.g., Caterpillar 330 with rock teeth or a D-8 bulldozer with a single ripping tooth). In confined excavations such as foundations, utility trenches, etc., removal of PWR may require use of heavy duty backhoes, pneumatic spades, or blasting. Material that exhibits less than 3 inches of penetration per 50 blows and material causing auger refusal will likely require jack hammering, blasting or drilling to facilitate removal. Due to the apparent quality of the refusal materials and local geology, we anticipate that blasting will be required in excavations that extend below the elevations indicated as "Auger Refusal" in our boring logs.

Rock materials will normally require blasting for removal in all types of excavations. Blasting in foundation excavations must be done carefully to prevent damage to the bearing materials and nearby buildings or roadways/utilities. The gradation of the material removed by ripping or blasting will likely be erratic.

As a general guide, we recommend the following definitions be used to define rock:

General Excavation

- Rip Rock: Material that cannot be removed by scrapers, loaders, pans, dozers, or graders; and requires the use of a single-tooth ripper mounted on a crawler tractor having a minimum draw bar pull rated at not less than 56,000 pounds.
- Blast Rock: Material which cannot be excavated with a single-tooth ripper mounted on a crawler tractor having a minimum draw bar pull rated at not less than 56,000 pounds (Caterpillar D-8 or equivalent) or by a Caterpillar 977 frontend loader or equivalent; and occupying an original volume of at least one (1) cubic yard.

Trench Excavation

Blast Rock: Material which cannot be excavated with a backhoe having a bucket curling force rated at not less than 25,700 pounds (Caterpillar Model 225 or equivalent), and occupying an original volume of at least one-half (1/2) cubic yard.

As noted in the Geology section of this report, the weathering process in the Piedmont can be erratic and significant variations of the depths of the more dense materials can occur in relatively short distances. In some cases, isolated boulders or thin rock seams may be present in the soil matrix. We have generally found that material that our soil drilling augers can penetrate can also be excavated with a large backhoe or ripped with a dozer mounted ripper. Weathered rock or rock that cannot be penetrated by the mechanical auger will normally require blasting to loosen it for removal.

5.9. Settlement Monitoring

ECS anticipates that fill depths may be on the order of 12 feet within the proposed building footprint. ECS recommends that settlement plates be placed within these areas to monitor consolidation of the fill materials. The frequency of monitoring should be on a weekly basis, but this should be adjusted as necessary by the geotechnical engineer of record based upon fill placement rates and settlement rates. Typically, the settlement rates will accelerate during the fill placement, and start tapering off shortly after stopping any fill placement. ECS recommends a minimum timeline between 45 and 60 days to monitor the consolidation. Upon reaching a tolerable settlement rate, foundation construction may begin.

Settlement plates shall be installed within the proposed building area and adjacent parking/drive areas that will receive new fill of more than 8 ft. We recommend that a minimum of three (3) settlement plates be installed in the deepest fill zones within the building footprint. Settlement plates shall be placed on subgrades after stripping and proofrolling is complete and before placement of engineered fill.

The plate should be placed on a level area of the prepared subgrade and the elevation of the plate and the top of the riser shall be recorded before fill placement. After completion of fill placement the elevations of the top riser shall be recorded at least once per week until

settlement has adequately ceased, based upon review of the settlement versus time curve of data reviewed by the geotechnical engineer of record.

Settlement plate readings should be made to 0.01 ft accuracy and shall be referenced to a benchmark well beyond the influence of the fill placement and protected from disturbance by construction equipment. Readings of the plates shall be taken by a qualified surveyor licensed in the State of South Carolina, and the data shall be evaluated by a licensed Geotechnical Engineer. The General Contractor shall furnish all labor and material and perform all operations needed for the installation and maintenance of the plates. The contractors working at the site must be aware of the locations of each monitoring point to avoid damage during the reading period.

6. CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

6.1. Site Preparation

Prior to construction, the proposed construction area should be stripped of all topsoil, organic material, MH/CH soils as previously discussed, and other soft or unsuitable material. Upon completion of these razing and stripping operations, the exposed subgrade in areas to receive fill should be proofrolled with a loaded dump truck or similar pneumatic-tired vehicle having a loaded weight of approximately 25 tons. After excavation, the exposed subgrades in cut areas should be similarly proofrolled.

Proofrolling operations should be performed under the observation of a geotechnical engineer or his authorized representative. The proofrolling should consist of two (2) complete passes of the exposed areas, with each pass being in a direction perpendicular to the preceding one. Any areas which deflect, rut or pump during the proofrolling, and fail to be remedied with successive passes, should be undercut to suitable soils and backfilled with compacted fill.

The ability to dry wet soils, and therefore the ability to use them for fill, will likely be enhanced if earthwork is performed during summer or early fall. If earthwork is performed during winter or after appreciable rainfall then subgrades may be unstable due to wet soil conditions, which could increase the amount of undercutting required. Drying of wet soils, if encountered, may be accomplished by spreading and disking or by other mechanical or chemical means.

6.2. Fill Material and Placement

The project fill should be soil that has less than five percent organic content and a liquid limit and plasticity index less than 50 and 30, respectively. Soils with Unified Soil Classification System group symbols of SP, SW, SM, SC, and ML are generally suitable for use as project fill. Soils with USCS group symbol of CL that meet the restrictions for liquid limit and plasticity index are also suitable for use as project fill. Soils with USCS group symbol of MH may be used in deeper fills (greater than 2 ft.) with the additional requirement that they remain stable beneath heavy construction traffic. Soils with USCS group symbol of CH (high plasticity soil) or corrosive soils are generally not suitable for use as project fill.

The fill should exhibit a maximum dry density of at least 90 pounds per cubic foot, as determined by a standard Proctor compaction test (ASTM D-698). We recommend that moisture control limits of -3 to +2 percent of the optimum moisture content be used for placement of project fill with the added requirement that fill soils placed wet of optimum remain stable under heavy pneumatic-tired construction traffic. During site grading, some moisture modification (drying and/or wetting) of the onsite soils will likely be required.

Project fill should be compacted to at least 95 percent of its standard Proctor maximum dry density except within 24 inches of finished soil subgrade elevation beneath slab-on-grade and pavements. Within the top 24 inches of finished soil subgrade elevation beneath slab on grade and pavements, the approved project fill should be compacted to at least 100 percent of its standard Proctor maximum dry density. Aggregate base course (ABC stone) should be compacted to 95 percent of its modified Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D-1557). However, for isolated excavations around footing locations or within utility excavations, a hand tamper will likely be required. ECS recommends that field density tests be performed on the fill as it is being placed, at a frequency determined by an experienced geotechnical engineer, to verify that proper compaction is achieved.

7. GENERAL COMMENTS

The borings performed at this site represent the subsurface conditions at the location of the borings only. Due to the prevailing geology, changes in the subsurface conditions can occur over relatively short distances that have not been disclosed by the results of the borings performed. Consequently, there may be undisclosed subsurface conditions that require special treatment or additional preparation once these conditions are revealed during construction.

Our preliminary evaluation of foundation support conditions has been based on our understanding of the site and project information and the data obtained in our exploration. The general subsurface conditions utilized in our preliminary foundation evaluation have been based on interpolation of subsurface data between and away from the test holes. If the project information is incorrect, or if the structure locations (horizontal or vertical) and/or dimensions are changed, please contact us so that our recommendations can be reviewed. The discovery of any site or subsurface conditions during construction which deviate from the data outlined in this exploration should be reported to us for our evaluation. The assessment of site environmental conditions for the presence of pollutants in the soil, rock, and groundwater of the site was beyond the scope of this exploration.

The recommendations outlined herein should not be construed to address moisture or water intrusion effects after construction is completed. Proper design of landscaping, surface and subsurface water control measures are required to properly address these issues. In addition, proper operation and maintenance of building systems is required to minimize the effects of moisture or water intrusion. The design, construction, operation, and maintenance of waterproofing and dampproofing systems are beyond the scope of services for this project.

APPENDIX

Site Vicinity Map Boring Location Diagram Laboratory Testing Summary Borelogs ASFE Documents







				Laboratory Te	esting	Sun	nmar	у				Page 1 of 2
					Atter	bera Li	mits3	Percent	Moisture - De	nsity (Corr.) ⁵		
Sample Source	Sample Number	Depth (feet)	MC1 (%)	Soil Type ²	LL	PL	PI	Passing No. 200 Sieve ⁴	Maximum Density (pcf)	Optimum Moisture (%)	CBR Value ⁶	Specific Gravity
B-1												
B-2												
	S-2	3.50 - 5.00	28.5									
B-3												
B-4												
B-5												
	S-3	6.00 - 7.50	27.5									
B-6												
	S-2	3.50 - 5.00	25.1	MH	70	38	32	76				
B-7												
	S-2	3.50 - 5.00	26.7									
B-8												
	S-2	3.50 - 5.00	26.8									
B-9												
	S-1	1.00 - 2.50	18.5		_							
B-10												
B-11												
D / 0	<u>S-3</u>	6.00 - 7.50	37.3									
B-12												
B-13												
B-14		0.00 7.50	047									
D 45	5-3	6.00 - 7.50	24./									
B-15												
B-10 D 17												
D-17												
B 10												
B-19 B-20												
Notes: Definitions:	1. ASTM D 2216, 2 MC: Moisture Conte	. ASTM D 2487, 3. AST ent, Soil Type: USCS (I	M D 4318, 4 Jnified Soil C	. ASTM D 1140, 5. See test reports lassification System), LL: Liquid Lir	for test me nit, PL: Pla	thod, 6. S	ee test re PI: Plastic	l ports for test m ity Index, CBR	ı ethod : California Bearing	l g Ratio, OC: Orga	nic Content	ASTM D 2974)
Project No.	11615-A									FCS	CAROLI	NAS LIP
Project Name:	York Coun	ty Potential Sites -	Parcel 2 -	GEO					5	1812 Ce	enter Park Dr	ive, Suite D
PM:	Matthew B	rewer								G Charlot	e, NC 28217	,
PE:	Lee J. McG	uinness								Phone: Fax: (70	(704) 525-51 4) 357-0023	52
Printed On:	Friday, Apr	il 15, 2016							TM	i ani (10	.,	

				Laboratory To	esting	<mark>y</mark> Sun	nmar	у				Page 2 of 2
					Atter	bera Li	mits3	Percent	Moisture - De	ensity (Corr.)5		
Sample Source	Sample Number	Depth (feet)	MC1 (%)	Soil Type ²	LL	PL	PI	Passing No. 200 Sieve ⁴	Maximum Density (pcf)	Optimum Moisture (%)	CBR Value ⁶	Specific Gravity
	S-2	3.50 - 5.00	24.1	СН	51	27	24	84				
B-21												
	S-2	3.50 - 5.00	29.2									
B-22												
B-23			00.0									
P 24	<u>S-2</u>	3.50 - 5.00	23.2									
B-24 B 25												
Б-25	S-1	1 00 - 2 50	31 5									
Notes: Definitions:	1. ASTM D 2216, 2 MC: Moisture Cont	2. ASTM D 2487, 3. AST ent, Soil Type: USCS (l	M D 4318, 4 Jnified Soil (4. ASTM D 1140, 5. See test report Classification System), LL: Liquid Li	s for test m mit, PL: Pla	ethod, 6. S stic Limit,	ee test rej Pl: Plastic	ports for test m ity Index, CBR	ethod : California Bearin	g Ratio, OC: Orga	anic Content	(ASTM D 2974)
Project No.	11615-A									ECS	CADOLI	NASILD
Project Name:	York Cour	ty Potential Sites -	Parcel 2 -	GEO						1812 Ce	enter Park Dr	ive, Suite D
PM:	Matthew B	rewer								G Charlot	te, NC 28217	50
PE:	Lee J. McC	Guinness								Fax: (70	(704) 525-51)4) 357-0023	52
Printed On:	Friday, Ap	ril 15, 2016							TM.	(-	

CLIENT						JOB #		BORI	NG #		SHEET	
York Cou	intv					11	615-A		B-1		1 OF 1	
PROJECT NAM	ME					ARCHITE	CT-ENGINEER					
York COL	unty F	ote	ntial	Sites - Parce	12- GEO	Cumn	ning Corp	orat	ion			
	-+ 1.4.		.			~~					CALIBRATED P	ENETROMETER TONS/FT ²
NORTHING	SUNA		EASTIN		STATION	30					ROCK QUALITY DES RQD% – — –	SIGNATION & RECOVERY REC% ———
1130347			<u>1983</u>	3415								
	ш	(N) .	Î	DESCRIPTION OF N	IATERIAL		ENGLISH	UNITS	ET)		LIMIT% CO	NTENT% LIQUID
(F-1).	↓	DISI	ERY (BOTTOM OF CASIN	g 📕	LOSS OF	CIRCULATIO	N /100%	LEVE	.9/	X	Δ
EPTH	MPLE	MPLE	COV	SURFACE ELEVATION	on 629.5				ATER EVAT	SWO		RD PENETRATION
	ري ال	Ś	<u> </u>	 ↓Topsoil Depth	[2"]			YAY	⇒ ⊒	BL		
		10		(MH) RESIDU	AL- ELASTIC SI	ILT, Con	tains			8		
		18	14		ist, very Still				_	11 13	24-8	
	_			(ML) SANDY S	SILT, Contains N	/lica, Re	d to Tan,		<u> </u>	4		
	2 SS	18	18	Moist, Stiff					625	4	10	
									E			
	s ss	18	10						<u> </u>	4 5	12-🔗	
									<u> </u>	<i>'</i>	/	
	1 55	18	16	Moist, Loose to	D Medium Dense	ains iviid e	a, ran,		<u> </u>	3	8-00	
10									620	5	Ĩ	
									E			
									<u> </u>			
	5 SS	18	14						615	4 5 6	11-🔗	
15									<u> </u>	Ū		
_									_			
				(SM) SILTY FI Mica, Tan, Mo	NE TO MEDIUN ist. Medium Den	/I SAND, ise	Contains					
		10	16						<u> </u>	7	21-0	
20-0-0-0	, 33	10	10						610	11	21-00	
									<u> </u>			
	v ss	18	16						605	9 11 16	27-(8: : :
25				END OF BOR	NG @ 25'				<u> </u>			
_									<u> </u>			
									E			
30 —									600			
	I	I	I	I					F	I		
Т	HE STR	ATIFIC	CATION	LINES REPRESENT		E BOUNDA	RY LINES BET	WEEN	SOIL TYP	ES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSITION M	IAY BE GRADUAL.
≚ WL GNE WS∐ WD⊠ BORING STARTI						BORING STARTED 03/24/16 CAVE IN DEPTH @ 21'						
WL(BCR) VL(ACR) GNE BORING COM						RING COMPLETED 03/24/16 HAMMER TYPE Manual						
₩ WL					RIG SIMCO 24	00	FOREMAN CO	ody		DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2.	25

CLIENT					JOB #	E	BORING #		SHEET		
York Count	v				11615	-A	B-	2	1 OF 1		
PROJECT NAME	,				ARCHITECT-EN	IGINEER				- -	<u>5</u>
York Count	ty Pote	ential	Sites - Parce	12- GEO	Cumming	Corpo	ration				
1555 West	Main	Stree	t Rock Hill V	ork County	SC						TER TONS/FT
NORTHING	Main	EASTIN	IG	STATION					ROCK QUALITY RQD%	DESIGNATION	& RECOVERY
1130334	=	1983	3557 DESCRIPTION OF M	ATERIAI	F		JITS			WATER	
	ST. (IN	<u> </u>			L			- L	LIMIT%	CONTENT%	
H (FT)		/ERY	BOTTOM OF CASING	G 📕	LOSS OF CIRC	CULATION		s/6"		•	
DEPTH SAMPI	SAMPI	RECO	SURFACE ELEVATIO	on 626.9			NATE	SLOW:	⊗ STAN	IDARD PENETR BLOWS/FT	ATION
	0, 0,		\Topsoil Depth	[2"]			ÎĬÉ			:	: :
	SS 18	16	(MH) RESIDU/ Mica, Red, Mo	AL- ELASTIC S ist, Very Stiff to	SILT, Contain: Stiff	s		5 8	16-⊗		
								8		:	
	CC 10	10						3	10-00		
5-5-2	33 10	10						6		28.5	
			(ML) SANDY S	SILT, Contains N	/lica, Tannish	1		2			
	SS 18	18					62	0 4 4	8-⊗		
			(SM) SILTY FI	NE TO MEDIUM	I SAND, Cor	ntains				:	
	SS 18	9	Mica, Tan, Moi	st, Loose to Me	dium Dense			4 4 5	9-8		
10										:	
_							61	5			
										:	
	SS 18	10					<u> </u>	4	12-8		
15								7		÷	
							61	0		:	
							<u> </u>	6			
	SS 18	16						7 9	16-&	÷	
							- 60	5			
			Mica, Tan, Moi	ist, Dense	A SAND, Cor	itains					
	SS 18	18						8 15		39-8))
25			END OF BORI	NG @ 25'			<u> </u>	24			
							-			÷	
							60	0			
_											
30											
	I		l				F	1		:	. :
							_				
THE	STRATIFI	CATION	I LINES REPRESENT	THE APPROXIMAT	E BOUNDARY LI	NES BETW	EEN SOIL T	YPES. IN	-SITU THE TRANSITIO	ON MAY BE GRAI	DUAL.
₩ WL GNE	NG STARTED 03/24/16 CAVE IN DEPTH @ 21'										
₩ WL(BCR)	WL(AC	R) GNE	BORING COMPLE	BORING COMPLETED 03/24/16 HAMMER TYPE Manual							
₩ 			RIG SIMCO 24	100 FORE	MAN Cod	у	DRIL	LING METHOD HSA	A 2.25		

CLIENT						JOB #	В	ORING #	¥		SHEET	J	
	nty					1161	5-A		B-3		1 OF 1	_ 2	
York Cou	inty F	Pote	ntial	Sites - Parce	el 2 - GEO	Cummin	g Corpor	ation					
SITE LOCATIO	N											PENETROMET	ER TONS/FT ²
1555 We	st Ma	ain S		et, Rock Hill, ` ਯ	York County, STATION	SC					ROCK QUALITY D RQD% – —	ESIGNATION & - REC% -	RECOVERY
1130332		=	1983	3683								WATER	
F 9	ΥPE	OIST. (IN	(NI) Y	BOTTOM OF CASIN	IG 🗩	LOSS OF CIF			N (FT)		LIMIT% C	ONTENT%	
DEPTH (F	SAMPLE -	SAMPLE	RECOVER	SURFACE ELEVAT	on 624.6			NATER L	ELEVATIO	3LOWS/6	STAND/	ARD PENETRAT BLOWS/FT	ΓΙΟΝ
0				Topsoil Depth	[2"]							: :	
S-1	SS	18	18	Mica, Red, Mo	bist, Stiff					4 5 6	11-⊗		
	SS	18	18	(ML) SILT, Co Medium Stiff t	ontains Mica, Tai o Stiff	nnish Red, N	/loist,			3	8-00		
5									· 620	5			
	SS	18	18							2 3 5	8-⊗		
										3			
10 S-4	SS	18	18						615	3 6	9-⊗		
				(ML) SILT, Co Moist Medium	ontains Mica, Tai	n to Grayish	Tan,						
	SS	18	18		1 Out					2 3	7-⊗		
15										4			
_													
									•				
	SS	18	18						605	2 2 3	5-🛇		
	66	10	10							2	7-8		
25				END OF BOR	ING @ 25'			ШE	600	4			
_								F					
								F					
								E	595				
30													:
						THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY LINES BETWEEN SOIL TYPES. IN-SITU THE TRANSITION MAY BE GRADUAL.					AL.		
						BORING STARTED 03/24/16 CAVE IN DEPTH @ 22.6							
₩ WL(BCR) ₩ WL(ACR) GNE BORING CO						SIMCO 2400 FOREMAN Cody DRILLING METHOD HSA 2 25							
- " <u>-</u>						100 100		,		21416	IIOA /		

CLIENT						JOB #	BOF	RING #		SHEET	
York Cour	ntv					11615-A		B-4		1 OF 1	
PROJECT NAME						ARCHITECT-ENGIN	EER				
York Cour	ity Po	otei	ntial	Sites - Parce	el 2 - GEO	Cumming C	orpora	tion			TV
SITE LOCATION											ENETROMETER TONS/FT ²
1555 Wes	t Mai	n S	Stree	t, Rock Hill,	York County,	SC					SIGNATION & RECOVERY
NORTHING			:ASTIN	16	STATION					RQD%	REC% —
1130135			1983	3229		ENCI			—		
	щ	T. (IN	(N)			LNG		(FT)		LIMIT% CO	NTENT% LIMIT%
E NO.	۲ ۲	E DIS	ΈRΥ	BOTTOM OF CASIN	G 📕	LOSS OF CIRCUL/			.9/		
EPTH AMPL	AMPL	AMPL	ECOV	SURFACE ELEVATI	on 617.5			'ATEF	LOWS	STANDAR BL	RD PENETRATION OWS/FT
ة <u>م</u> 0	Ś	õ	R	 ∖Topsoil Depth	[2"]		/ 	<u>≥ ⊡</u> 1	B		
				(MH) RESIDU	AL- ELASTIC S	ILT, Red, Moist,		E	4		
	55	18	18	Sun				615	4 5	9-8	
				(ML) SILT, Co	ntains Mica, Ora	angish Red to					
	SS	18	18	Tan, Moist, Sti	iff to Medium Sti	ff			6	14-🔗	
5								F			
	SS	18	18						4 5	12-⊗	
								610	7		
		4.0	40						3		
10	35	18	18						4	8-X-	
					ntains Mica. Tar	Moist Stiff to					
				Medium Stiff	ntanis moa, rai			605			
	SS	18	18						3 4	9-⊗	
15								E	5		
								600			
									3		
	SS	18	18					E	3 5	8-🔆	
_								595 			
	88	18	18	(ML) SILT, Co	ntains Mica, Tar	n, Moist, Very Sti	ff 📗		4	18-8	
25		10	10		ING @ 25'		[]]]]]]]		11		
								E			
								500			
30								E_			: : :
тн	STRAT	IFIC		LINES REPRESENT			BETWEE		PES INI.	SITU THE TRANSITION A	
			ws□		BORING STARTE	D 0.3/24/16			CAV	E IN DEPTH @ 22 6'	
WL(BCR)		Ξ,	WL(AC	R) GNE	BORING COMPLE	TED 03/24/16			HAM	MER TYPE Manual	
						SIMCO 2400 FOREMAN Cody DRILLING METHOD HSA 2.25					
· ·											

CLIENT						JOB #	BO	RING #		SHEET	
	nty					ARCHITECT-ENGINEER					ECO
York Cou	nty F	ote	ntial	Sites - Parce	12 - GEO	Cumming Co	rpora	tion			
SITE LOCATIO	N					<u>_</u>					ENETROMETER TONS/FT ²
1555 Wes	st Ma	ain S	Stree EASTIN	et, Rock Hill, ` ^{IG}	York County, STATION	SC				ROCK QUALITY DE RQD%	SIGNATION & RECOVERY REC% ———
1130133			1983	3378		EN OL	0		-		
	ΡE	ST. (IN	(N)					/ELS		LIMIT% CO	
TH (FT	PLE T	PLE DI	OVERY		ON 6196	LOSS OF CIRCULA		TER LEY	WS/6"	⊗ STANDA	
SAN DEP	SAN	SAN	REC		10"1		- NY A		BLO	BL	.OWS/FT
			40	(MH) RESIDU	[∠] AL- ELASTIC SI b Rod to Toppial	LT, Contains		E	5		
	55	18	12	to Very Stiff		n Reu, Moist, Stil		<u> -</u>	5 9	14-00	
	SS	18	18					615	7	27-	8
5						Mica Reddish			15		
	SS	18	18	Tan, Moist, Ha	rd to Very Stiff	i mica, i teudisii			9 12 20	27.5-	● ⊗-32
								E 610	20		
	SS	18	18						5 7 10	17	
				(ML) SANDY	SILT, Contains M	lica, Brownish		ile i			
				Tan, Moist, St	ff			605	5		
	SS	18	18						5 6	11-⊗	
-											
	SS	18	18					600	3 4	9-⊗	
20									5		
-											
				(SM) SILTY F Mica, Grayish	Tan, Moist, Med	lium Dense	5				
	SS	18	18						10 9 15	24-&	
				END OF BOR	ING @ 25'						
								E			
30 —								<u>–</u>			
	1		I	I			I	—	I		
ТН	IE STR	ATIFIC		LINES REPRESENT	THE APPROXIMATE	E BOUNDARY LINES	BETWEE	N SOIL TYP	PES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSITION N	1AY BE GRADUAL.
및 WL GNE WS□ WD⊠ BORING STA						ORING STARTED 3 CAVE IN DEPTH @ 21.3'					
₩ WL(BCR)		Ţ	WL(AC	R) GNE	BORING COMPLETED 03/23/16 HAMMER TYPE Manual						
₩ WL GN	E				RIG SIMCO 24	00 FOREMAN	Cody		DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2.	25



CLIENT		JOB #	BORING #		SHEET		
York County		11615-A	B-7	,	1 OF 1		
PROJECT NAME		ARCHITECT-ENGINEE	R				
York County Potential Sites -	arcel 2 - GEO	Cumming Cor	poration			The	
SITE LOCATION		· ý				PENETROMETER TONS/FT ²	
1555 West Main Street, Rock	lill, York County	v, SC					
NORTHING EASTING	STATION				RQD%	- REC%	
1130154 1983709							
	N OF MATERIAL	ENGLIS	HUNITS		LIMIT% C	CONTENT% LIQUID	
		LOSS OF CIRCULAT			×	Δ	
L H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	EVATION 620.4		ATER EVAT	OWS	⊗ STAND		
	Nonth [2"]			B		BLOWS/FT	
	SIDUAL- SANDY EI	LASTIC SILT, Red,		5			
	ff			6 9	15-⊗		
(ML) S/	JDY SILT. Contains	Mica, Orangish					
	st, Stiff			4 4	11-🔗	•	
5			615	7		26.7	
				3			
				6	10-8		
(SM) S	TY FINE TO MEDIL	JM SAND, Contains		6			
S-4 SS 18 14 Mica, T	n, Moist, Medium De	ense		7	16-🔗		
			610				
_ (ML) S/	IDY SILT, Contains	Mica, Tan to					
		5.50		3			
				4 4	8-🛇		
			605				
S-6 SS 18 18				4	10		
20				6			
S-7 SS 18 18				3 5 7	12-🛇		
25 END O	BORING @ 25'		595				
			590			: : :	
THE STRATIFICATION LINES REF	ESENT THE APPROXIMA	TE BOUNDARY LINES B	ETWEEN SOIL TY	PES. IN	SITU THE TRANSITION	I MAY BE GRADUAL.	
⊈ wL GNE ws⊡ wd⊠	BORING START	BORING STARTED 03/24/16 CAVE IN DEPTH @ 22.6'					
₩ WL(BCR)	BORING COMPLETED 03/24/16 HAMMER TYPE Manual						
₩ Ţ	RIG SIMCO 2	RIG SIMCO 2400 FOREMAN Cody DRILLING METHOD HSA 2.25					

CLIENT					JOB #	BO	RING #		SHE	ET		
York Coun	tv				11615-4		B-8		1.0	= 1		
PROJECT NAME	(y				ARCHITECT-ENG	INEER	0					69
York Coun	tv Pot	entia	l Sites - Parce	el 2 - GEO	Cumming (Corpora	ation					
SITE LOCATION										RATED P	ENETROME	TER TONS/FT ²
1555 West	Main	Stree	et, Rock Hill, `	York County,	SC				DOOK OUV			
NORTHING		EASTI	NG	STATION					ROCK QUA RQD%	– – –	REC%	
1129972		198	2986									
		Î	DESCRIPTION OF I	MATERIAL	EN	JLISH UNIT	S E		LIMIT%	CO	NTENT%	LIQUID LIMIT%
Ê Ŷ	DIST	ERY (I	BOTTOM OF CASIN	IG 🖉	LOSS OF CIRCU			.9			•	Δ
MPLE	MPLE	COVE	SURFACE ELEVATI	on 612.2			ATER	OWS,	\otimes	STANDAF		ATION
SA DE	AS SA	RE 1	Toncoil Donth	[6"]				BL		BL	OVVS/F1	<u> </u>
		_	(MH) RESIDU	IAL- ELASTIC S	ILT, Contains	- 111		3				
	SS 18	3 18	Mica, Red, Mo	bist, Stiff			610	4 6	10-🛇	:		
			(ML) SANDY	SILT. Contains N	/lica. Orangish							
	SS 18	3 16	Red, Moist, St	tiff	, - - -			3 4	10-🚫			
5								6		26	5.8	
	CC 10	10						3		÷	:	
5-3	55 10	5 18	-				605	5	9-00			
		_	(SM) SILTY F	INE TO MEDIUM	A SAND, Conta	iins		3				
	SS 18	3 14	Mica, Tan, Mo	oist, Loose to Me	dium Dense			4	8-🛇	÷		
10										:	÷	
										÷	:	
							600					
								4				
	SS 18	3 16	-					4 5	9-⊗	÷		
										÷	:	
							- 595					
										÷		
	SS 18	3 18						4	9-⊗	÷	÷	
20								5		÷	:	
										÷	÷	
							590			\backslash		
			-								:	
	SS 18	3 18					Ě	9 12 13		25->	÷	
25			END OF BOR	ING @ 25'					÷	•		
							–					
_							585					
							-			÷		
30							F				÷	
			I					I		•		
THE	STRATIF	ICATIO	N LINES REPRESEN			S BETWEE	EN SOIL TYF	ES. IN		NSITION M	AY BE GRAD	DUAL.
⊈ w⊾ 24	WS	BORING STARTE	D 03/24/1	6		CAV	E IN DEPTH @	22'				
₩ WL(BCR)	WL(A	CR) GNE	BORING COMPLE	BORING COMPLETED 03/24/16 HAMMER TYPE Manual								
₩				RIG SIMCO 2400 FOREMAN Cody DRILLING METHOD HSA 2.25								

CLIENT							JOB #		BOR	NG #		SHEET	
York Co	oun IAME	ty					1' ARCHIT	1615-A ECT-ENGINEER		B-9		1 OF 1	EGQ
		t <u>y P</u>	ote	ntial	Sites - Parce	el 2 - GEO	Cum	ming Corp	orat	ion			
							~ ~						ENETROMETER TONS/FT ²
1555 W	/est	Ma			i <mark>t, Rock Hill, `</mark> ^{ig}	YORK COUNTY, STATION	SC					ROCK QUALITY DES RQD%	SIGNATION & RECOVERY REC%
112991	8			<u>1983</u>	B141			ENGLISH		1			
Ê	Q	түре	DIST. (IN)	RY (IN)	BOTTOM OF CASIN		LOSS	ENGLISH		EVELS DN (FT)	-	LIMIT% CO	NTENT% LIMIT%
DEPTH (F	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	RECOVE	SURFACE ELEVATI	on 607.8				WATER L	BLOWS/6	⊗ STANDAF BL	RD PENETRATION OWS/FT
0					Topsoil Depth			rk Brown	Ϋ́́Ω				
	S-1	SS	18	16	Moist, Stiff to	Very Stiff	ili, Da	ik biowii,			4 5 6	11-& •-18.5	
	5-2	SS	18	18						- 605	6 9	20-⊗	
5										 	11		
s	S-3	SS	18	18	(ML) SANDY Moist, Stiff to	SILT, Light Red Medium Stiff	to Light	t Brown,			5 6 7	13-🔗	
										600	5		
	S-4	SS	18	18						- 	6 9	15-⊗	
_										E			
										595			
	S-5	SS	18	18						<u> </u>	2	8-×	
15										E	4		
_													
_					(ML) SANDY Moist, Stiff to	SILT, Light Tan≞ √ery Stiff	to Dark	Gray,		<u>-</u> 590			
s	S-6	ss	18	18						<u> </u>	2 4	9-&	
20										E	5		
										The second secon			
										585	6		
25	S-7	SS	18	18							9 13	22-⊗	
					END OF BOR	ING @ 25							
										580			
_													
30										<u>–</u>			
	THE	STRA	TIFIC	CATION	LINES REPRESEN	THE APPROXIMAT	E BOUND	DARY LINES BET	WEEN	SOIL TYP	ES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSITION M	AY BE GRADUAL.
						BORING STARTED 03/23/16 CAVE IN DEPTH @ 17.9'							
₩ WL(BCR) ₩ WL(ACR) 22.2 BORING CC						BORING COMPLETED 03/23/16 HAMMER TYPE Manual							
₩_ WL 1					RIG SIMCO 24	400	FOREMAN CO	ody		DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2.2	25	

CLIENT						JOB #	BO	RING #		SHEET	
York Cou PROJECT NAM	Inty					11615-A	EER	B-10)	1 OF 1	EGe
York Cou	inty F	ote	ntial	Sites - Parce	12 - GEO	Cumming C	orpora	ition			
										-O- CALIBRATED P	ENETROMETER TONS/FT ²
NORTHING	st Ma	ain S		it, Rock Hill, Y	CORK COUNTY, STATION	SC				ROCK QUALITY DE RQD%	SIGNATION & RECOVERY REC%
1129903			<u>1983</u>	3346		510					
F 9	LYPE	DIST. (IN	۲ (IN)	BOTTOM OF CASIN		LOSS OF CIRCUL		EVELS DN (FT)		LIMIT% CO	NTENT% LIMIT%
DEPTH (F	AMPLE -	AMPLE [ECOVER	SURFACE ELEVATION	0.806 MC			VATER LI LEVATIO	9/SMO1	⊗ STANDAF BL	RD PENETRATION OWS/FT
0	0	0	<u>u</u>	\Topsoil Depth	[2"]		/ ````	<u>> u</u>			
S-1	SS	18	18	(MH) RESIDU Light Gray and	AL- ELASTIC S I Brown, Moist, I	ILT, Dark Red to Hard to Very Stif	f		12 15 18		33-⊗
			10					605	7	àd	
5 <u>-</u> S-2	SS	18	18						10 14	24-8	
	SS	18	18					E	7 12 15	27-(
								600			
	SS	18	18						9 13	22-⊗	
								E			
								595	6		
	SS	18	18						9 12	21-⊗	
				(ML) SANDY S Moist, Stiff	SILT, Light Gray	and Brown,		590			
	SS	18	18						4 5 6	11-&	
20											
				(SM) SILTY FI		M SAND, Gray,		F05			
	SS	18	16	woist, very De					23 41		
25				END OF BOR	ING @ 25'			<u> </u>	41		82
								_			
								580			
30 -								E			
	I	I	I	I			I	F	I		<u> </u>
т	HE STR	ATIFIC		I LINES REPRESENT	THE APPROXIMAT	E BOUNDARY LINES	BETWEE	N SOIL TYP	PES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSITION M	IAY BE GRADUAL.
⊈ w⊾ 23.4			ws□	WD 🛛	BORING STARTE	D 03/23/16			CAV	E IN DEPTH @ 18.8'	
₩ WL(BCR)		Ţ	WL(AC	R) 21.4	BORING COMPLE	TED 03/23/16			HAM	MER TYPE Manual	
≝ w⊾ 17.	1				RIG SIMCO 24	100 FOREMAN	Cody		DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2.	25

CLIENT						JOB #	BC	DRING #		SHEET	
York COL PROJECT NAM	inty E					11615-A ARCHITECT-ENG	NEER	B-1′	1	1 OF 1	ECC
York Cou	inty F	ote	ntial	Sites - Parce	el 2 - GEO	Cumming (Corpor	ation		l	TV
4555144			- .		<i>.</i>					-O- CALIBRATED P	ENETROMETER TONS/FT ²
1555 VVe	st Ma	ain S	EASTIN	e <u>t, Rock Hill, '</u> ^{IG}	STATION	SC				ROCK QUALITY DE RQD%	SIGNATION & RECOVERY REC%
1129923			1983	3563 DESCRIPTION OF N	ΔΤΕΡΙΔΙ	EN		те	.		
<u> </u>	ΥPE	NI) . (IN	(IN) Y	BOTTOM OF CASIN		LOSS OF CIRCU				LIMIT% CO	NTENT% LIMIT%
EPTH (F	AMPLE T	AMPLE D	ECOVER	SURFACE ELEVATI	on 613.2			VATER LE LEVATIO	"FOWS/6"	⊗ STANDAF BL	RD PENETRATION .OWS/FT
	0	S	<u>~</u>	\Topsoil Depth	[2"]						
	SS	18	18	(MH) RESIDU Brown, Moist,	AL- ELASTIC S Very Stiff	ILT, Dark Red	o		7 8 9	17-⊗	
								610	6		
5 <u>-</u> S-2	SS	18	18						9 14	23-⊗	
	SS	18	18						6 9 14	23-📎	37.3-●
								605			
	SS	18	18						6 8 13	21-🔗	
				(ML) SANDY	SILT, Light Tan,	Moist, Very Sti	ff 📗				
	66	10	40					600	6	10	
15	33	18	18						8 10	18-2	
_											
				(SM) SILTY F Gray, Moist, D	INE TO MEDIUN Dense	/I SAND, Light		- 595			
	SS	18	18						14 15 14	29	r&
20											
				(PWR) PARTI	ALLY WEATHE	RED ROCK		-			
	SS	5	5	SAMPLED AS Light Gray	SILTY FINE TO	D MEDIUM SAI	ND,	590 	50/5		100+-&
25 —				AUGER REFL	JSAL @ 24'			E			
								- 585			
30								F			
Т	HE STR	ATIFIC	CATION	I LINES REPRESENT	THE APPROXIMAT	E BOUNDARY LINE	S BETWE	EN SOIL TYF	PES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSITION M	IAY BE GRADUAL.
¥ w∟ GNE			ws 🗌		BORING STARTE	D 03/23/1	6		CAVE	E IN DEPTH @ 21.2'	
₩ WL(BCR)		Ē	WL(AC	R) GNE	BORING COMPLE	TED 03/23/1			HAM	MER TYPE Manual	
	E				RIG SIMCO 24	100 FOREM	N Cody	,	DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2.	25

CLIENT						JOB #		BOR	ING #		SHEET		
York Co	unty					1 ARCHIT	1615-A ECT-ENGINEER		B-12		1 OF 1	ERC	
York Co	unty	Pote	ential	Sites - Parce	el 2 - GEO	Cum	ming Corp	orat	ion				,
SITE LOCATIO	UN .		_									PENETROMETER TONS/	FT ²
1555 We NORTHING	est M	ain		<u>et, Rock Hill, `</u> ਯ	York County, STATION	SC					ROCK QUALITY DE RQD% – — –	SIGNATION & RECOVER - REC%	ł۲
1129906	6		1983	3744			FNOLIOU		1				
	ΥPE	IST. (IN)	(IN)			10551			VELS N (FT)		LIMIT% CC		Г%
EPTH (F1		MPLE D	COVER	SURFACE ELEVATI	on 601.8			•	ATER LE EVATIO	"9/SMO	STANDA		
	<u>8</u>	S	RE	_ ∖Topsoil Depth	[3"]			ŶſĨĬ	́лі П	В	Б		_
	1 SS	18	8	(MH) RESIDU Moist, Mediun	AL- ELASTIC S	ILT, Da	ark Brown,		600	3 3	8-⊗		
				(ML) SANDY	SILT, Brown to (Gray, M	loist,			5			
	2 SS	18	18	Medium Stiff t	o Soft					2 3 4	7-8		
		_							E	2			
S-	3 SS	18	18						595	4 4	8		
	4 SS	18	16							3 2	5		
10										3			
									590				
									<u> </u>				
	5 SS	18	14							2 2 2	≪-4		
				(ML) SANDY	SILT, Brown to C	Gray, M	loist, Stiff		₹ ⁵⁸⁵				
	6 SS	18	18							357	12-&		
20									E				
									580				
	7 99	10	16						Ţ	6	20		
25	.7 33	10	10	END OF BOR	ING @ 25'				–	17	2		
									575				
30									<u> </u>				
	THE ST	RATIFI	CATION	LINES REPRESEN	THE APPROXIMAT		DARY LINES BET	WEEN	SOIL TYP	ES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSITION N	IAY BE GRADUAL.	
⊊ w⊾ 23.4	4		ws□	WD	BORING STARTE	D	03/24/16			CAV	E IN DEPTH @ 20.4'		
₩ WL(BCR)		Ţ	WL(AC	R) 17.2	BORING COMPLE	ETED	03/24/16			HAM	MER TYPE Manual		
₩ Į					RIG SIMCO 24	400	FOREMAN CO	ody		DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2.	25	

CLIENT						JOB #		BORI	NG #		SHEET	J	
York Cou PROJECT NAM	nty E					11 ARCHITE	615-A		B-13		1 OF 1		
York Cou	<u>nty P</u>	ote	ntial	Sites - Parce	el 2 - GEO	Cumm	ning Corp	orat	ion				
SHELOCATION			_									PENETROMETE	R TONS/FT ²
1555 Wes	st Ma	<u>in s</u>	Stree EASTIN	et, Rock Hill, ` ^{IG}	York County, STATION	SC					ROCK QUALITY DE RQD%	SIGNATION & R - REC% —	ECOVERY
1129686			1983	3219			ENCLISH			-	DIASTIC		
Ê ġ	ЧРЕ	NST. (IN	(NI)	BOTTOM OF CASIN		LOSS OF	CIRCULATIO		EVELS N (FT)			ONTENT%	
DEPTH (F	AMPLE 1	SAMPLE D	RECOVER	SURFACE ELEVATI	ION 600.4				VATER LI	P/SMO18	⊗ STANDA B	RD PENETRATI	ON
0	0	0	<u>æ</u>	\Topsoil Depth	[2"]			Ϋ́́ΩΎ	<u> </u>	ш		: :	:
S-1	SS	18	14	(MH) RESIDU Brownish Red Very Stiff	IAL- SANDY EL I to Orangish Re	ASTIC Si ed, Moist,	LI, Stiff to			4 4 5	9-⊗		
	00	10	10						 	3			
5-2	55	18	18						- 595	4 5	9-8		
	SS	18	14						 	2 5 7	12-8		
									_				
	SS	18	18							4 7 9	16-🔗		
									590				
									<u> </u>				:
	22	18	18						_	4	16-18		
15	55	10							585	10			
_							Ton		-				
				Moist, Mediun	n Dense	VI SAND,	ran,		Ţ	7			
	SS	18	10							8 10	18-🔆		
									- 580				
_													
	SS	18	18							6 8 14	22-⊗		
				END OF BOR	ING @ 25'				575 				
_													:
30									 				
	. 1						'			-			
TH	E STR/	TIFI	CATION	I LINES REPRESEN	T THE APPROXIMAT	E BOUNDA	RY LINES BET	WEEN	SOIL TYP	ES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSITION	MAY BE GRADUA	L.
\			WS	WD	BORING STARTE	D 0	3/23/16			CAVE	E IN DEPTH @ 19.9'		
₩ WL(BCR)		Ţ	WL(AC	R) 15.7	BORING COMPLE	ETED 0	3/23/16			HAM	MER TYPE Manual		
≝ w⊾ 11.8	3				RIG SIMCO 24	400	FOREMAN CO	ody		DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2	.25	

CLIENT						JOB #	BOF	RING #		SHEET	
	ounty	/				11615-A	NEER	B-14	ŀ	1 OF 1	ECO
York Co	ount∖	/ Pot	entia	l Sites - Parce	12 - GEO	Cummina (Corpora	tion			
SITE LOCATI	ION										ENETROMETER TONS/FT ²
1555 We	est I	Main	Stre EAST	<u>et, Rock Hill, `</u> ^{NG}	<u>York County,</u> STATION	SC				ROCK QUALITY DE	SIGNATION & RECOVERY
1129646	6		198	3378	ΜΑΤΕΡΙΔΙ	ENC					
		ST (IN	(NI)					VELS VELS		LIMIT% CO	NTENT% LIMIT%
PTH (FT			COVER	SURFACE ELEVAT	ON 599.9			TER LE	"9/S/VC	⊗ STANDAF	RD PENETRATION
			L L	 ∖Topsoil Depth	[2"]		/		BLC	BL	.OWS/FT
	-1 S	S 18	3 18	(MH) RESIDU Brownish Red	AL- SANDY ELA to Orangish Re	ASTIC SILT, d, Moist, Stiff to			3 4	9-⊗	
			-	_ Very Stiff					5		
	-2 S	S 18	3 18						5 8 11	19-8	
5				(ML) SANDY	SILT, Orangish 1	Fan, Moist, Very	/	- 595			
S-	-3 S	S 18	3 18	Stiff					7 12	19-🔗 🔴	-24.7
	_	_		(SM) SILTY F	NE TO MEDIUN Moist Medium	/I SAND, Dense to Loose	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>		4		
	-4 S	S 18	3 18		Molot, Modium			590	47	11-⊗	
								_			
_								-			
	-5 S	S 18	3 18	_					3 4	10-🛇	
15				_				585	ľ		
				(SM) SILTY F		I SAND, Tan					
		_		Moist, Mediun	Dense			¥	5		
S- 20	-6 S	S 18	3 18	_				580	6 8	14-🛞	
	-7 S	S 18	3 10	_					7 9	22-⊗	
25				END OF BOR	ING @ 25'			575 	13		
								<u> </u>			
30 -								570			
	I	Ι	I	I			I	F	I		
	THE S	TRATI	ICATIO	N LINES REPRESEN	THE APPROXIMATI	E BOUNDARY LINE	S BETWEEI	N SOIL TYP	ES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSITION N	IAY BE GRADUAL.
⊈ w∟ 18.	.4		ws] WD 🛛	BORING STARTE	03/23/1	6		CAV	E IN DEPTH @ 14.0'	
₩ WL(BCR))	1	WL(A	CR) 15.1	BORING COMPLE	TED 03/23/10	6		HAM	MER TYPE Manual	
₩_ WL 13	3.0				RIG SIMCO 24	00 FOREMA	N Cody		DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2.	25

CLIENT	JOB #	BORING #		SHEET	
York County	11615-A	B-15	5	1 OF 1	500
PROJECT NAME	ARCHITECT-ENGINE	ER	,		EU C
York County Potential Sites - Parcel 2	- GEO Cumming Co	rporation			
SITE LOCATION	· •				ENETROMETER TONS/FT ²
1555 West Main Street, Rock Hill, Yor	County, SC				
NORTHING EASTING STAT	TION			RQD%	REC%
1129671 1983533					
	RIAL ENGL	SH UNITS 의 듀		LIMIT% CO	NTENT% LIQUID
	LOSS OF CIRCULA		<u>.</u>	X	Δ
Image: Head of the second s	603.3	TER	/SMC	⊗ STANDAF	D PENETRATION
			BLO	BL	OWS/FT
(MH) RESIDUAL-	SANDY ELASTIC SILT,		5		
S-1 SS 18 18 Brownish Red to C	Prangish Red, Moist, Very Sti		7	16-⊗	
S-2 SS 18 16			8 11	26-)
5			15		
(ML) SANDY SILT	, Orangish Tan, Moist, Very		5		
S-3 SS 18 18 Still to Still			7 10	17-8	
		595			
			5 6	14-🚫	
10			8		
(SM) SILTY FINE	TO MEDIUM SAND, Light				
Örangish Tan, Mo	st, Loose to Medium Dense	590			
			4	9-&	
			°		
		¥			
		585			
		Y -	5	11-&	
		580	10		
S-7 SS 18 18			12 17	29	-×
END OF BORING	@ 25'	_			
		575			
		$ \vdash$	I L	: :	
THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE	APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY LINES	ETWEEN SOIL TYPE	ES. IN-S	ITU THE TRANSITION M	AY BE GRADUAL.
및 wL 18.4 ws□ wd⊠ bC	RING STARTED 03/23/16		CAVE	IN DEPTH @ 20.1'	
₩ WL(BCR) ₩ WL(ACR) 19.3 BC	RING COMPLETED 03/23/16		НАММ	ER TYPE Manual	
₩ 17.5 RIC	G SIMCO 2400 FOREMAN	Cody	DRILLI	ING METHOD HSA 2.2	25

CLIENT						JOB #	BO	RING #		SHEET	
York Cou	nty					11615-	A	B-16	6	1 OF 1	
PROJECT NAM	E					ARCHITECT-EN	GINEER			·	
York Cou	nty P	ote	ntial	Sites - Parce	el 2 - GEO	Cumming	Corpora	tion		_	7.
1555 \//00	et Ma	in 9	Strac	at Rock Hill `	York County	SC					PENETROMETER TONS/FT ²
NORTHING			EASTIN	IG	STATION	00				ROCK QUALITY DE	SIGNATION & RECOVERY
1129708			1983	3752							
	щ	T. (IN)	Î	DESCRIPTION OF I	MATERIAL	El	NGLISH UNIT	ELS (FT)		LIMIT% CC	DNTENT% LIQUID
H (FT) E NO.	E TYF	E DIS	/ERY (BOTTOM OF CASIN	IG 🖉	LOSS OF CIRC			s/6"	X	
DEPTH	SAMPL	SAMPL	RECOV	SURFACE ELEVATI	on 601.3			WATEI	BLOW	⊗ STANDA B	RD PENETRATION LOWS/FT
0				Topsoil Depth	[2"] AL - EL ASTIC S	II T. Reddish	_/	Ĭ–			
S-1	SS	18	18	Brown, Moist,	Very Stiff			600	9 12 12	24-🔗	
				(ML) SANDY	SILT. Orangish I	Red to Orangi	sh IIII				
	SS	18	18	Tan, Moist, Ve	ery Stiff	0			7	22-&	
5											
S-3	SS	18	18					595	9 11 18	2	9-80
	SS	18	18						8 10 12	22-🔗	
10									12		
								590			
				(SM) SILTY F Moist, Mediun	INE TO MEDIUN n Dense to Dense	M SAND, Tan, se					
	SS	18	18					—	7	20-🚫	
15									13		
								- - 585			
	SS	18	18					<u> </u>	14 17		35-&
20									18		
								580 			
	SS	18	18						20 24		50-8
25				END OF BOR	ING @ 25'				26		
								575 			
								_			
								_			
30								F			
		TIFIC							PES. IN	SITU THE TRANSITION I	MAY BE GRADUAL.
⊈ w∟ 18.4			ws	WD	BORING STARTE	D 03/22/	16		CAV	E IN DEPTH @ 21.0'	
WL(BCR)		Ţ	WL(AC	R) 15.8	BORING COMPLE	TED 03/22/	16		HAM	MER TYPE Manual	
₩					RIG SIMCO 24	400 FOREM	IAN Cody		DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2	.25

CLIENT	JOB #	BORING #		SHEET	
York County	11615-A	B-17	7	1 OF 1	
PROJECT NAME	ARCHITECT-ENGINE	ER			
York County Potential Sites - Parcel 2 - GE	O Cumming Co	rporation			TM
1555 Wast Main Street, Back Hill, Vark Ca	inty SC			CALIBRATED P	ENETROMETER TONS/FT ²
NORTHING EASTING STATION					SIGNATION & RECOVERY
1129418 1983195			-		REC //
	ENGLI	SH UNITS		PLASTIC V LIMIT% CO	VATER LIQUID NTENT% LIMIT%
	LOSS OF CIRCULA		.9/	×	-ΦΔ
	.1	ATER	ROWS	⊗ STANDAF BL	RD PENETRATION .OWS/FT
0 _ \\	/		8		
S-1 SS 18 18 Red, Moist, Very Stiff to	TIC SILT, Brownish Hard	595	4 9	21-⊗	
			12		
S-2 SS 18 18			11 14		36
5			22		
(MH) ELASTIC SILT, Bro	ownish Red to Tan,	590	7	20	
			17	23	
			10		2-0
			19 16		35-8
		585			
(ML) SANDY SILT, Cont	ains Mica, Tan, Moist,				
Stiff			3		
			4 5	9-8	
	FDILIM SAND Contain	<u> </u>			
Rock Fragments, Tan, N	loist, Medium Dense	, <u> </u>			
			4 5 8	13-🔗	
				E E	
		_ 5/5			
S-7 SS 18 16			5 7	16-⊗	
25 END OF BORING @ 25	1		9		
		- 570			
		_			
30					
		· F	•	•	
₩ 18.4 WS WD WD BORING S	TARTED 03/23/16		CAVE	E IN DEPTH @ 13.3'	WI DE ONNDOAL.
₩ WL(BCR) ₩ WL(ACR) 16.6 BORING C	OMPLETED 03/23/16		HAM	MER TYPE Manual	
₩ 10.5 RIG SIM	CO 2400 FOREMAN	Cody	DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2.	25

CLIENT						JOB #	BO	RING #		SHEE	Г		
York Cou	ntv					11615	A	B-18	3	1 OF	1	5	
PROJECT NAM	Ξ					ARCHITECT-EN	GINEER		-		•		55
York Cou	nty F	ote	ntial	Sites - Parce	2 - GEO	Cumming	Corpora	ation		1			TN
			- .			<u></u>					ATED P	ENETROME	TER TONS/FT ²
1555 Wes NORTHING	st Ma	ain S T	STREE EASTIN	et, Kock Hill, ^{\ig}	TOTE COUNTY, STATION	SC				ROCK QUAL	ITY DES	GNATION	& RECOVERY
1129463			1981	3427						RQD%		REC%	
		(Ž		DESCRIPTION OF N	IATERIAL	E	NGLISH UNIT	s (PLASTIC	N COL		
F 9	ΥPE	OIST.	NI) X	BOTTOM OF CASIN	G 🗩	LOSS OF CIRC				×	00		
TH (F	LE 1	PLE 0	OVER		DN 501 2				WS/6	⊗ s			ATION
SAN SAN	SAN	SAN	REC					WA ⁻	BLO		BL	OWS/FT	
				CTopsoil Depth (CL) RESIDUA	[2.5"] AL- SANDY LEA	N CLAY, Bro	wn,						
S-1	SS	18	10	Moist, Medium	n Stiff				2 4	6-兴	:	: :	
				(MH) ELASTIO	C SILT. Contains	Mica. Orano	ish III						
	SS	18	18	Tan, Moist, Me	edium Stiff				3 2	7-⊗ :	:	:	
5						line Orennie			5		-		
	SS	18	16	Tan, Moist, Me	edium Stiff	/lica, Orangis		585	2 3	7-8		: :	:
									4				
		10	10	(ML) SANDY S Tan, Wet, Soft	SILT, Contains N	/lica, Orangis	n	$\overline{\Sigma}$	2				
10	SS	18	18						2	⊗−4			
								580					
				(ML) SANDY S	SILT Contains N	lica Orangis					:	:	
				Tan, Moist, Sc	ft	nica, Orangio							
	SS	18	16						2	⊗-3			
15 —													
								575					
				(SM) SILTY FI	NE TO MEDIUN	I SAND, Con	tains					: :	:
	<u> </u>	10	10	milita, Cray, m					2	10	:	:	
20	55	18	12						6				
								-					
								_				÷	
								<u> </u>			\setminus		
S-7	SS	18	14						6 9 12	2	1- ⊗		
25				END OF BOR	ING @ 25'						:		
								565					
								-					
								E			:	:	
30 —													
			I	I			1	I	•			-	
	E STR	ATIFI		I LINES REPRESENT		E BOUNDARY LI	NES BETWEE	EN SOIL TYP	PES. IN-	SITU THE TRANS		AY BE GRAD	UAL.
≚ WL 9.0			WS	WD	BORING STARTE	03/22/	16		CAVE	E IN DEPTH @ '	15.8'		
₩ WL(BCR)		Ţ	WL(AC	R) 10.1	BORING COMPLE	TED 03/22/	16		HAM	MER TYPE Mar	iual		
₩L					RIG SIMCO 24	00 FORE	MAN Cody		DRIL	LING METHOD	ISA 2.2	25	

CLIENT						JOB #	BOR	RING #		SHEET		
York Cou	ntv					11615-A		B-19)	1 OF 1	500	
PROJECT NAM	=					ARCHITECT-ENGIN	EER		,			
York Cou	nty P	ote	ntial	Sites - Parce	2 - GEO	Cumming C	orporat	tion				
SITE LOCATION	Í					Y					PENETROMETER TON	IS/FT ²
1555 Wes	st Ma	<u>in S</u>	Stree	et, Rock Hill, <u>)</u>	ork County,	SC						
NORTHING			EASTIN	١G	STATION					RQD%	REC%	ERY
1129461			<u>198:</u>	3588								
		(N)	Î	DESCRIPTION OF N	IATERIAL	ENG	ISH UNITS	S (-		PLASTIC LIMIT% CC	NATER LI	QUID MIT%
ÊŻ	ΤΥΡΙ	DIST	RY (I	BOTTOM OF CASIN	G 📕	LOSS OF CIRCUL		ON EVE	o"	X	•	\bigtriangleup
PTH (MPLE	MPLE	COVE	SURFACE ELEVATION	DN 594.9			TER	/S/VC	⊗ STANDA	RD PENETRATION	
SAI	SAI	SAI	Ш. Ш.		1011		/		BLC	BI	_OWS/FT	
				(MH) RESIDU	[2"] AL- SANDY EL/	ASTIC SILT,	/		4			
	SS	18	18	Orangish Tan,	Moist, Stiff			F	5	13-⊗		
								E				
	SS	18	18					E	5 6	14-⊗		
5								590	8			
				(ML) SANDY S	SILT, Tan, Moist	, Stiff to Medium		Ŀ	3			
	SS	18	18	Sun					4 5	9-8		
	SS	18	18						3 2	5-8		
10								585 	3			
				(SM) SILTY FI	NE TO MEDIUN	/I SAND, Gray,						
				Moist, Loose to	o Medium Dens	9		X				
	SS	18	18					_	3	6-🔗		
15								580	3			
								_				
								<u> </u>				
									4			
	SS	18	16						5	10-&		
20								- 575				
-												
								_	7			
	SS	18	14					570	9 15	24-⊗		
				END OF BOR	NG @ 25'			_				
_								_				
_								_				
								<u> </u>				
30 -								- 565				
				l				F	I		. : :	
тн	E STRA	ATIFIC		LINES REPRESENT	THE APPROXIMAT	E BOUNDARY LINES	BETWEEN	N SOIL TYP	ES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSITION N	IAY BE GRADUAL.	
⊈ w∟ 13.4			ws	WD	BORING STARTE	03/22/16			CAV	E IN DEPTH @ 16.3'		
₩ WL(BCR)		Ţ	WL(AC	R) 13.3	BORING COMPLE	TED 03/22/16			HAM	MER TYPE Manual		
₩ WL					RIG SIMCO 24	00 FOREMAN	I Cody		DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2.	25	



York County 11615-A B-21 1 OF 1 PROJECT NAME ARCHITECT-ENGINEER ARCHITECT-ENGINEER York County Potential Sites - Parcel 2 - GEO Cumming Corporation Constraint SITE LOCATION Istation
PROJECT NAME AnCHITECT ENGINEER York County Potential Sites - Parcel 2 - GEO Cumming Corporation SITE LOCATION
Internet Coding Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Corporation - Calibrated Penetrometer Tons/FT Internet Coding Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Corporation - Calibrated Penetrometer Tons/FT Internet Coding Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Corporation - Calibrated Penetrometer Tons/FT Internet Coding Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Corporation - Calibrated Penetrometer Tons/FT Internet Coding Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Corporation - Calibrated Penetrometer Tons/FT Internet Coding Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Corporation - Calibrated Penetrometer Tons/FT Internet Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Corporation - Calibrated Penetrometer Tons/FT Internet Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Corporation - Calibrated Penetrometer Tons/FT Internet Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Corporation - Calibrated Penetrometer Tons/FT Internet Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Corporation - Calibrated Penetrometer Tons/FT Internet Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Corporation - Calibrate Penetrometer Tons/FT Internet Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Potential Sites - Parcer 2 - GLO Tourning Corporation - Calibrate Penetrometer Tons/FT Introp 2 - GLO Tourning - GLO T
1555 West Main Street, Rock Hill, York County, SCNORTHINGINORTHINGILSTATIONILSTATIONROCK QUALITY DESIGNATION & RECOVERY RQD% REC%PLASTICVALUEILOSS OF CIRCULATION 2000ILOSS OF CIRCULATION 2000ILIMIT%CONTENT%ILIMIT%CONTENT%ILIMIT%CONTENT%ILIMIT%CONTENT%ILIMIT%CONTENT%ILIMIT%CONTENT%ILIMIT%CONTENT%ILIMIT%CONTENT%ILIMIT%CONTENT%ILIMIT%
I129262 1983095 RQD% REC% I129262 I983095 DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL ENGLISH UNITS IIII The Content of Co
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Sol
S-4 SS 18 18 18 10 68 20-8
- (SM) SILTY FINE TO MEDIUM SAND, Tan, Moist, Dense
S-5 SS 18 16 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
(PWR) PARTIALLY WEATHERED ROCK
SAMPLED AS SILTY FINE TO MEDIUM SAND,
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
S-7 SS 5 4 → 570 50/5 100+-⊗
THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY LINES BETWEEN SOIL TYPES. IN-SITU THE TRANSITION MAY BE GRADUAL.

CLIENT						JOB #	BOF	RING #		SHEET		
York Cou	ntv					11615-	<u>م</u>	B-22	,	1 OF 1	5	
PROJECT NAM	E					ARCHITECT-ENG	INEER		-			59
York Cou	ntv P	ote	ntial	Sites - Parce	el 2 - GEO	Cummina	Corpora	tion				
SITE LOCATION	1										PENETROMET	ER TONS/FT ²
1555 Wes	st Ma	in S	Stree	et, Rock Hill, `	York County,	SC						
NORTHING			EASTIN	IG	STATION					RQD%	- REC% -	
1129170			1983	3224				_	-			
	ш	Σ.	î	DESCRIPTION OF I	VIATERIAL	EN	GLISH UNIT:	EI C		LIMIT% CC	NTENT%	LIQUID LIMIT%
Ê ÖN	ΤYΡ	DISI	ERY (BOTTOM OF CASIN	IG 📕	LOSS OF CIRCU		NO NO	.9	×	•	Δ
PTH MPLE	MPLE	MPLE	COVI	SURFACE ELEVAT	on 586.6			ATER EVAT	OWS	⊗ STANDA		TION
SA DE	S^ A	SA	R	∖Tonsoil Denth	[2"]		/	≷ <u> </u>	ВГ	Ы	_0w3/F1	<u> </u>
				(MH) RESIDU	IAL- SANDY EL	ASTIC SILT, R	ed	F	7			
S-1	SS	18	18	to Orangish R	ed, Moist, Very	Stiff		585	11 13	24-8		
								E			\ i i	
	SS	18	18					E	7 10 17	27-	¢: :	
5									17		/	
- 5-3	SS	18	18	(ML) SANDY Stiff	SILT, Orangish I	Red, Moist, ve	ry	- 580	6	23-8	÷	
									14		: :	
				(CL) SANDY	LEAN CLAY, Ta	n, Moist, Stiff			4			
	SS	18	18					E	6 8	14-8		
								575				
				SAMPLED AS	ALLY WEATHE SILTY FINE TO	RED ROCK D MEDIUM SA	ND,					
	SS	8	8	Tan					23 50/2			×
15 - S-6	SS	0	0		ISAL @ 15'				50/0		<u>: :</u>	100+
				AUGENTIEN	JOAL @ 10			F			: :	
								E 570				
								_			: :	:
								E			: :	
20												
_												
_								—			: :	
								–			÷ ÷	
25 —								E				
								560			÷	
								<u> </u>			÷ ÷	
								<u> </u>				
30 —								F				
	. 1			•			I	—				
	E STR/	ATIFIC		I LINES REPRESEN		E BOUNDARY LIN	ES BETWEE	N SOIL TYF	PES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSITION I	MAY BE GRADU	AL.
t w∟ GNE		-	ws∟	WD	BURING STARTE	U 03/22/1	6		CAVE	= IN DEP [H @ 13'		
₩ WL(BCR)		Ţ	WL(AC	R) GNE	BORING COMPLE	TED 03/22/1	6		HAM	MER TYPE Manual		
₩ WL					RIG SIMCO 24	100 FOREM	AN Cody		DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2	25	



CLIENT					JOB # BORING #				SHEET				
York Coun	tv				11615-A B-24 1 OF 1								
PROJECT NAME					ARCHITECT-EI	NGINEER							
York Coun	ty Pot	entia	al Sites - Parce	el 2 - GEO	Cumming	Corpor	ation						
SITE LOCATION										PENETROMETER TONS/FT ²			
1555 West	Main	Stre	et, Rock Hill, `	York County, Istation	SC				ROCK QUALITY DE	SIGNATION & RECOVERY			
1100040		10	22200						RQD%	REC%			
1129248	Í	119	DESCRIPTION OF I	MATERIAL	E	ENGLISH UN	ITS		PLASTIC	WATER LIQUID			
	CPE						VELS		LIMIT% CC	NTENT% LIMIT%			
H (FT			BOTTOM OF CASIN		LUSS OF CIR	CULATION 2		"9/S/	0				
DEPT	SAME		SURFACE ELEVAT	ION 582.2			WATE ELEV	BLOW	STANDA BI	LOWS/FT			
0				[2"]		_/_]Ĭ				· · · ·			
	SS 1	3 18	Orangish Red	, Moist, Stiff	ILT, Red to			5 5	12-📎				
			_					7					
	<u> </u>	2 10					IE	4	11-0				
5-2	33 1		-					8	14-0				
		_	(CL) SANDY	LEAN CLAY, Gra	ayish Tan, M	oist,		5					
	SS 1	3 16					575	8 13	21-⊗				
			(ML) SANDY	SILT, Contains N	Mica, Brown,								
	SS 1	3 16	Moist, Very St	liff				5 10	22-&				
10		-	_					12					
_			(SM) SILTY F		M SAND, Cor	ntains	570						
		_	Nica, Brown,	woist, very Den	se		¥	22					
	SS 1	3 18	3				_	24 37		61			
							565						
			SAMPLED AS	SILTY FINE TO	D MEDIUM S	AND,							
<u>\S-6</u>	SS 3	3	AUGER REF	a, Brown JSAL @ 18.8'				50/3		100+∹⊗			
20 —							_						
							560						
							-						
25							-						
							-						
							_						
30 —							<u>–</u>						
						1	'	•	-				
	STRATII	-ICATI			APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY LINES BETWEEN SOIL TYPES. I								
i≑ w⊾ 13.4		WS		BURING STARTE	BORING STARTED 03/22/16				CAVE IN DEPTH @ 14.8'				
₩_ WL(BCR)	1	- WL(ACR) 12.9	BORING COMPLE	TED 03/22	2/16		HAM	MER TYPE Manual	R TYPE Manual			
₩ Ţ WL				RIG SIMCO 24	400 FORE	MAN Cody	/	DRIL	LING METHOD HSA 2.	25			



CLIENT							JOB #		BOR	ING #		SHEET				
York (Cour	ntv F	Build	lina	Maintenance		08.11	615-D		B-101	l	1 OF 1	50			
PROJECT	NAME Cour	ity E ity F	am	ilv C	ourt- Addition	al Geo	ARCHITEC	T-ENGINEER	I					<u>US</u>		
Explo	ratio	n											<u>4_</u>	ти		
SITE LOCATION) PENETROME	TER TONS/FT ²		
NORTHIN	<u>e Blv</u> G	/d, F	<u>302</u> 	<u>k Hil</u> eastin	l, York County ਯ	<u>/, SC</u> station						ROCK QUALITY I	DESIGNATION &	RECOVERY		
												RQD%	- REC%			
			(N)		DESCRIPTION OF M	IATERIAL		ENGLISH	UNITS				WATER			
(F.	9. V	ТҮРЕ	DIST.	RY (IN	BOTTOM OF CASIN	G 📕	LOSS OF	CIRCULATIO	N /100%	EVELS	=	X		<u>\</u>		
TH (F	APLE	APLE	APLE	DOVE	SURFACE ELEVATIO	ON 621				TERL	9/S/VC	⊗ STANE	DARD PENETRA	TION		
	SAI	SAI	SAI	Ц.			Poddich [Brown		MA ELE	BLC		BLOWS/FT			
					Moist, Medium	n Stiff		510001,		620	2					
	S-1	SS	18	18						<u> </u>	4 4	8-8				
					(MH) SANDY I	ELASTIC SILT,	Reddish I	Brown,		-	2					
5	S-2	SS	18	18	MOIST, VERY STI	Ш				E	6 10	16-🛇				
					(ML) SANDY S	SILT, Brown to C	Grayish Br	rown,		615	3					
	S-3	SS	18	18	Moist, Stiff to V	/ery Stiff					5 6	11-🛇				
										_						
	S-4	SS	18	18						<u> </u>	3 5 7	12 🛇				
10										610						
	S-5	SS	18	18							4 5	11-🛇				
15										<u>¥</u>	6					
										605						
	S-6	SS	18	18							4 7	15-🛇				
20											8					
										600						
										_						
	c 7	66	10	10							3					
25 —	3-7	33	١ð	18		ING @ 25 0'					/ 10	17-00				
										595						
										<u> </u>						
30										E						
				1	l					F						
	TH	E STR	ATIFI		I LINES REPRESENT	THE APPROXIMAT	FE BOUNDARY LINES BETWEEN SOIL TYPES. IN				ES. IN-	I-SITU THE TRANSITION MAY BE GRADUAL.				
¥ WL (GNE			WS	WD	BORING STARTE	ED 01/03/17 CAVE				CAVE	IN DEPTH @ 16.0'				
₩ WL(SHW) ₩ WL(ACR) GNE BORING COM						BORING COMPLE	TED 01	/03/17 HAMMER TYPE Manual								
₩ WL 15.5 RIG						RIG CME 55	F	OREMAN			DRILI	LING METHOD 2.25	H.S.A.			

CLIENT	JOB #	BORING #	SHEET				
York County Building Maintenance	08:11615-D	B-102	1 OF 1				
PROJECT NAME York County Family Court- Additional Ge	ARCHITECT-ENGINE	R					
Exploration				TM			
SITE LOCATION				PENETROMETER TONS/FT ²			
Heckle Blvd, Rock Hill, York County, SC	J		ROCK QUALITY DE	SIGNATION & RECOVERY			
	-		RQD%	REC%			
DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL	- ENGLIS	H UNITS	PLASTIC \	WATER LIQUID			
			LIMIT% CO	NTENT% LIMIT%			
	21	WATI		OWS/FT			
0 (MH) SANDY ELAST	IC SILT, Reddish Brown,	620					
			2 5 10-8				
S-2 SS 18 18			3 11	29 ⊗			
5			18				
(MH) SANDY ELAST	IC SILT, Reddish Brown, iff	615	6 21-00				
			13				
			5				
			7 9				
		610					
			3 5 13-⊗				
			0				
		605					
(MH) SANDY ELAST	IC SILT, Reddish Brown,						
			3 18-00				
			11				
		600					
(ML) SANDY SILT, D	ark Brown, Moist, Hard						
			7				
25 S-7 SS 18 18			13 18	31-⊗			
	25.0'	595					
30 -							
THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE AP	PROXIMATE BOUNDARY LINES B	ETWEEN SOIL TYPES	. IN-SITU THE TRANSITION N	IAY BE GRADUAL.			
	G STARTED 01/04/17	с	CAVE IN DEPTH @ 14.5'	E IN DEPTH @ 14.5'			
₩ WL(SHW) ₩ WL(ACR) GNE BORIN	G COMPLETED 01/04/17	+	HAMMER TYPE Manual	ER TYPE Manual			
₩ wL RIG C	ME 55 FOREMAN	FOREMAN DRILLING METHOD 2.25 H.S.A.					

CLIENT							JOB # BORING #				SHEET					
York C	วิดมห	ntv F	Suile	dina	Maintenance		08.11615	5-D		B-10	3	1 OF 1				-
PROJECT	NAME	nty E	iom		ourt_ Addition	al Goo	ARCHITECT-ENGINEER							L	G(
Explor	ratio	n п	am	iiy C	ount- Addition	iai Geo								4		
SITE LOC/	ATION											-()- CALIBRA	TED PE		TER TOP	√S/FT ²
Heckle	e Blv	/d, F	Roc	k Hill	, York Count	y, SC						0				
NORTHING	G			EASTIN	IG	STATION						ROCK QUALIT RQD% –	Y DES	IGNATION REC%	& RECO\	/ERY
			(N)	a	DESCRIPTION OF N	IATERIAL	EN	GLISH (JNITS	S F		PLASTIC LIMIT%		ATER	LI	QUID MIT%
Æ	ġ	ТҮРЕ	DIST.	۱۱) YE	BOTTOM OF CASIN	G 📕	LOSS OF CIRCL	JLATION	J /100%	EVEL DN (F		X		•		Δ
TH (F	IPLE	IPLE	IPLE	OVEI	SUBFACE ELEVATI	ON 616				TER L	WS/6	⊗ st∕		D PENETR	ATION	
DEP	SAN	SAN	SAN	REC		010				WA1 ELE	BLO	0	BLC	OWS/FT		
0					(MH) SANDY	ELASTIC SILT,	Reddish Brown	n,		- 615						
	S-1	SS	18	18						_	34	9-⊗				
										-	5					
	\$ 2	~~~	10	10	(ML) SANDY 3	SILT, Reddish B	rown, Moist, S	tiff		<u> </u>	3	10-8				
5	3-2	33	10	10						<u> </u>	6	10 🛇				
				-						610	2					
	S-3	SS	18	18						_	5	12 🔗				
					(SM) SILTY F		ASAND Brow	n to								
	S-4	SS	18	18	Grayish Brown	n, Moist, Loose t	o Medium Der	ise			2 3	7-8				
10											4					
										605						
	S-5	SS	18	18						_	3 5 5	10-🔆				
15										_	5					
										600						
										_						
										_	3					
	S-6	SS	18	18						_	5 6	11-🛇				
20																
_										_ 595						
										_						
											2					
25	5-7	55	18	18							6 7	13-⊗				
					END OF BOR	ING @ 25.0'				- 590						
										E						
										–						
										–						
30 —										—						
	I		l	I	I			I		F	1	. :	:	:		
THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE APPROX						THE APPROXIMAT	TE BOUNDARY LINES BETWEEN SOIL TYPES. IN-				-SITU THE TRANSITION MAY BE GRADUAL.					
<u>₹</u> w∟ (GNE			WS	WD	BORING STARTE	ED 01/04/17 CAV				E IN DEPTH @ 13.0'					
₩ WL(SH	HW)		Ţ	WL(AC	R) GNE	BORING COMPLE	етер 01/04/17 нам				MMER TYPE Manual					
₩ wL RIG ATV							FOREMAN DRILLING METHOD 2.25 H.					25 H.S	S.A.			

CLIENT							JOB #	BO	RING #		SHEET				
York C	Cour	ntv F	Ruile	lina	Maintenance		08.11615	-D	B-104	4	1 OF 1	500			
PROJECT	NAME		iom		ourt Addition		ARCHITECT-ENG	INEER	<u> </u>	T					
Explor	atio	ity г n	am	ily C	ourt- Addition	al Geo									
SITE LOCA	ATION														
Heckle	۹ Bl	/d F	Rocl	k Hill	York County	(SC					ONLIBITUTED				
NORTHING	G	<u>, ai i</u>		EASTIN	IG	STATION					ROCK QUALITY DE	SIGNATION & RECOVERY			
											RQD%	REC%			
			Î		DESCRIPTION OF M	ATERIAL	EN	GLISH UNIT	TS O		PLASTIC \	WATER LIQUID			
	ö	ΥPE	IST. (N N							LIMI1% CC				
H (FT	Й ГШ	ЦЩ	LE D	VER	BOTTON OF CASING		LUGG OF UNOU			"S/6"					
EPTI	AMP	AMP	AMP	ECO	SURFACE ELEVATIO	ON 617			VATE	TOW	🛞 STANDAF BL	RD PENETRATION .OWS/FT			
0	0	05	0		(MH) SANDY E	ELASTIC SILT,	Reddish Brow	n,		ш					
					Moist, Medium	Stiff				2	- 0				
	S-1	55	18	18					615	3 4	7-8				
					(MH) SANDY E	ELASTIC SILT,	Reddish Brow	n,							
	S-2	SS	18	12	Moist, Very Sti	ff				2 6	16-📎				
5										10					
					(MH) SANDY E Moist Very Sti	ELASTIC SILT,	Reddish Brow	n,		5	Ĺ				
	S-3	SS	18	10		11			610	8 11	19-8				
	S-4	SS	18	18						6 10	25-&)			
10										15					
					(CL) SANDY I	FAN CLAY Gr	avish Brown	—	605						
					Moist, Stiff	EAN OLAT, OR	ayısıı brown,								
	S-5	SS	18	18						3 5	12+∞				
15			_							7	Ī				
									600						
	S-6	SS	18	18					/	3 6	14-🛇				
20										8					
							www.Maiat V/		595						
					Stiff	n∟r, Grayisti Bi	own, woist, ve	∍ıy							
	S-7	SS	18	18						5 7	17-1				
25 —	5 '		.0	¹⁰					Щ—	10					
						NG @ 20.0			F						
									_						
									-						
30 —									F						
-	I	l		1	I			I	F	1					
THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE APPROXI						THE APPROXIMAT	E BOUNDARY LIN	ES BETWEE	EN SOIL TYP	ES. IN-	SITU THE TRANSITION N	IAY BE GRADUAL.			
₩L O	BNE			WS	WD		ED 01/04/17 CAV				/e in depth @ 11.0'				
₩ wL(SHW) ₩ WL(ACR) GNE BORING CC							PLETED 01/04/17 HAMMER TYPE Manual								
₩ WL						RIG CME 55	FOREMAN DR				DRILLING METHOD 2.25 H.S.A.				

CLIENT	JOB #	BORING #	SHEET				
York County Building Maintenance	08.11615-D	B-105	1 OF 1				
PROJECT NAME Vork County Family Court- Additional Goo	ARCHITECT-ENGINE	ER 100					
Exploration							
SITE LOCATION				ENETROMETER TONS/FT ²			
Heckle Blvd, Rock Hill, York County, SC							
NORTHING EASTING STATION			ROCK QUALITY DES RQD%	REC%			
	ENGLIS		PLASTIC V LIMIT% CO	VATER LIQUID NTENT% LIMIT%			
	LOSS OF CIRCULAT		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	-ΦΔ			
		ATER EVAT					
				.OWS/F1			
Reddish Brown, Moist, Stif	f		3				
S-1 SS 18 18			4 10-X				
(MH) SANDY ELASTIC SI	LT. Reddish Brown.	- E-					
S-2 SS 18 18 Moist, Very Stiff to Stiff	_ ,	615	5 8 19-X				
5			11				
			3	~			
			9 17	9			
			3				
S-4 SS 18 18		610	6 6 12				
(ML) SANDY SILT, Brown Moist Very Stiff to Hard	to Grayish Brown,						
		605	5				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			12 29 17	+⊗ \			
S-6 SS 18 12		600	8 15	36-⊗			
20			21				
		595 	4 17 28	45-⊗			
²⁵ END OF BORING @ 25.0'							
		_					
		_					
		- 590					
THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE APPROXI	MATE BOUNDARY LINES E	ETWEEN SOIL TYPES	S. IN-SITU THE TRANSITION M	IAY BE GRADUAL.			
₩ W W BORING STA	RTED 01/04/17		CAVE IN DEPTH @ 17.0'	e in depth @ 17.0'			
₩ WL(SHW) ₩ WL(ACR) GNE BORING COM	IPLETED 01/04/17 HAMMER TYPE Manual						
₩ wL RIG CME 5	55 FOREMAN		DRILLING METHOD 2.25 H.	S.A			

CLIENT							JOB #		BORI	NG #		SHEET		
York (Cour	ntv F	Ruilo	lina	Maintenance		08.1	1615-D		B-106	3	1 OF 1		
PROJECT		ty E	- - - -	ilv C	ourt- Addition	al Geo	ARCHITE	CT-ENGINEER		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u>L</u> C
Explo	ratio	n	am	iiy C									<u></u>	
SITE LOC	ATION												PENETROME	TER TONS/FT ²
Heckl	e Bl	/d, F	Rocł	k Hill	, York County	, SC								
NORTHIN	IG			EASTIN	IG	STATION						RQD%	- REC%	
	1													
		ш	(NI).	Î	DESCRIPTION OF N	IATERIAL		ENGLISH	UNITS	ET)		LIMIT% C	WATER DNTENT%	
(FT)	No	: ТҮР	LSIC	ERY (BOTTOM OF CASIN	G 📕	LOSS O	F CIRCULATIO	N 2008	LEVE ION (.9	×	•	Δ
HTH	MPLE	MPLE	MPLE	COVE	SURFACE ELEVATION	on 598				NTER EVAT	OWS/	STANDA		ATION
	SA	SA	SA		Asphalt Depth	[1 5"]			°ŕ	ŭ I	BL	B	LOWS/FI	
					Gravel Depth	[2.0"]		/			2			
	S-1	SS	18	18	(MH) SANDY Moist, Stiff	ELASTIC SILT,	Reddish	ı Brown,		<u> </u>	4 6	10-8		
					(MH) SANDY	ELASTIC SILT,	Gravish	Brown,		595				
	S-2	SS	18	12	Moist, Very Sti	iff	,	,		<u> </u>	3 8	20-🔆		
5											12			
	6.3	99	19	19						<u> </u>	6	21		
	0-0		10	10						<u> </u>	10	210		
										- 590	4	/		
10-	S-4	SS	18	18						_	8 10	⊗ 18		
					END OF BOR	ING @ 10.0'				_				
										_				
										<u> </u>				
15 —										_				
										_				
										_				
										580				
-										<u> </u>				
20 —										-				
										_				
_										- 575				
25										<u> </u>				
										_				
										<u> </u>				
										<u> </u>				
30 —										_				
	1		I	I	I			I	1	-		 : :	÷	. <u> </u>
V 10/1		E STR	ATIFIC			THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY LINES BETWEEN SOIL TYPE				ES. IN-SITU THE TRANSITION MAY BE GRADUAL.				
₩ WL(SHW) ¥ WL(ACB) GNF						BORING STARTED 01/04/17				CAVE IN DEPTH @ 8.5'				
<u>ب</u> ۱۷۷۲(۵	vv)		Ŧ	VVL(AC									S A	
₩ WL 6.0											UNIL		.о.д.	



REFERENCE NOTES FOR BORING LOGS

MATERIAL	, z		DRILLING SAMPLING SYMBOLS & ABBREVIATIONS												
	ASPH	ALT	SS	SS Split Spoon Sampler PM					Pressuremeter Test						
13 N 1 1 1 1 1 1			ST	Shelby Tul	be Sample	er R	D	Rock Bit Drilling							
	CONC	RETE	WS	WS Wash Sample RC						BX, AX					
D0			BS	BS Bulk Sample of Cuttings REC F					ample Re	covery %					
00002	GRAV	EL	PA	Power Aug	er (no sai	mple) R0	ΣD	Rock Q	uality Des	signation %					
ŴŴ			HSA	H5A HOIIOW STEM AUGER											
	TOPS	JIL		PARTICLE SIZE IDENTIFICATION											
	VOID		DESIGNA	TION	PARTI										
<u>. </u>			Boulders	3	12 inc	ches (300 mm) o	or lar	ger							
	BRICK		Cobbles		3 inch	nes to 12 inches	s (75	mm to	300 mm)						
80 00	ACCP	EGATE BASE COURSE	Gravel:	Coarse	¾ inc	h to 3 inches (19	9 mm	n to 75 i	nm)						
°0 00 ~ 5	AGON			Fine	4.75 r	mm to 19 mm (N	lo. 4	sieve to	o ¾ inch)						
	FILL ³	MAN-PLACED SOILS	Sand:	Coarse	2.00 r	nm to 4.75 mm	(No.	10 to N	o. 4 sieve	e)					
	GW			Medium	0.425	mm to 2.00 mn	ח (Nc	b. 40 to	No. 10 sie	eve)					
	911	gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines		Fine	0.074	mm to 0.425 m	m (N	10.200	to No. 40	sieve)					
	GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVEL gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines		ay (Filles)	<0.07		nan	a 110. 2	JU Sleve)						
	GM	SILTY GRAVEL		COHESIVE	SILTS &	CLAYS				COARSE	FINE				
		gravel-sand-silt mixtures	UNCO	NFINED	5		7			GRAINED	GRAINE				
X / 2	GC	CLAYEY GRAVEL	Сомр	RESSIVE	SPT°	CONSISTENCY				(70)	(70)				
/_/_/ /	SW		STREN	GTH, Q _P	(BPF)	Very Soft		Trac	е	<5	<5				
	311	gravelly sand, little or no fines	0.25	,20 0 50	3 - 4	Soft		Dua	Symbol	10	10				
;:::::	SP	POORLY-GRADED SAND	0.20	- <1 00	5 - 8	Medium Stiff		(ex. c	577-3171)	15 20	15 25				
		gravelly sand, little or no fines	1.00	- <2.00	9 - 15	Stiff		Adio	ctive	15 - 20 25 - - 50	30 5				
	SM	SILTY SAND	2.00 -	- <4.00	16 - 30	Very Stiff		(ex: '	Silty")	20 - <00	50 - <5				
	00		4.00	- 8.00	31 - 50	Hard		<u> </u>							
	50	Sand-clay mixtures	>8	3.00	>50	Very Hard			w	ATER LEVELS	6 ⁶				
	ML	SILT						∇	WL	Water Level ((WS)(WD)				
		non-plastic to medium plasticity	GRAVE	LS, SANDS	& NON-C	OHESIVE SILT	s	-		(WS) While	Sampling				
	MH		ç	SPT⁵		DENSITY				(WD) While	e Drilling				
				<5		Very Loose		$\underline{\Psi}$	SHW	Seasonal Hig	gh WT				
	CL	low to medium plasticity	5	5 - 10		Loose		Ŧ	ACR	After Casing	Removal				
	СН	FAT CLAY	1	1 - 30	Μ	edium Dense		Ā	SWT	Stabilized Wa	ater Table				
		high plasticity	3	1 - 50		Dense			DCI	Dry Cave-In					
ברב	OL	ORGANIC SILT or CLAY non-plastic to low plasticity		>50	,	Very Dense			WCI	Wet Cave-In					
	он	ORGANIC SILT or CLAY high plasticity													
	PT	PEAT													

¹Classifications and symbols per ASTM D 2488-09 (Visual-Manual Procedure) unless noted otherwise.

²To be consistent with general practice, "POORLY GRADED" has been removed from GP, GP-GM, GP-GC, SP, SP-SM, SP-SC soil types on the boring logs.

³Non-ASTM designations are included in soil descriptions and symbols along with ASTM symbol [Ex: (SM-FILL)].

⁴Typically estimated via pocket penetrometer or Torvane shear test and expressed in tons per square foot (tsf).

⁵Standard Penetration Test (SPT) refers to the number of hammer blows (blow count) of a 140 lb. hammer falling 30 inches on a 2 inch OD split spoon sampler required to drive the sampler 12 inches (ASTM D 1586). "N-value" is another term for "blow count" and is expressed in blows per foot (bpf).

⁶The water levels are those levels actually measured in the borehole at the times indicated by the symbol. The measurements are relatively reliable when augering, without adding fluids, in granular soils. In clay and cohesive silts, the determination of water levels may require several days for the water level to stabilize. In such cases, additional methods of measurement are generally employed.

⁷Minor deviation from ASTM D 2488-09.

Reference Notes for Boring Logs (FINAL 08-23-2016).doc

GRAINED (%) <5 10

30 - <50

Important Information About Your Geotechnical Engineering Report

Subsurface problems are a principal cause of construction delays, cost overruns, claims, and disputes

The following information is provided to help you manage your risks.

Geotechnical Services Are Performed for Specific Purposes, Persons, and Projects

Geotechnical engineers structure their services to meet the specific needs of their clients. A geotechnical engineering study conducted for a civil engineer may not fulfill the needs of a construction contractor or even another civil engineer. Because each geotechnical engineering study is unique, each geotechnical engineering report is unique, prepared *solely* for the client. No one except you should rely on your geotechnical engineering report without first conferring with the geotechnical engineer who prepared it. *And no one - not even you* - should apply the report for any purpose or project except the one originally contemplated.

Read the Full Report

Serious problems have occurred because those relying on a geotechnical engineering report did not read it all. Do not rely on an executive summary. Do not read selected elements only.

A Geotechnical Engineering Report Is Based on A Unique Set of Project-Specific Factors

Geotechnical engineers consider a number of unique, project-specific factors when establishing the scope of a study. Typical factors include: the client's goals, objectives, and risk management preferences; the general nature of the structure involved, its size, and configuration; the location of the structure on the site; and other planned or existing site improvements, such as access roads, parking lots, and underground utilities. Unless the geotechnical engineer who conducted the study specifically indicates otherwise, do not rely on a geotechnical engineering report that was:

- not prepared for you,
- not prepared for your project,
- not prepared for the specific site explored, or
- completed before important project changes were made.

Typical changes that can erode the reliability of an existing geotechnical engineering report include those that affect:

• the function of the proposed structure, as when it's changed from a parking garage to an office building, or from alight industrial plant to a refrigerated warehouse,

- elevation, configuration, location, orientation, or weight of the proposed structure,
- · composition of the design team, or
- project ownership.

As a general rule, *always* inform your geotechnical engineer of project changes - even minor ones - and request an assessment of their impact. *Geotechnical engineers cannot accept responsibility or liability for problems that occur because their reports do not consider developments of which they were not informed.*

Subsurface Conditions Can Change

A geotechnical engineering report is based on conditions that existed at the time the study was performed. *Do not rely on a geotechnical engineering report* whose adequacy may have been affected by: the passage of time; by man-made events, such as construction on or adjacent to the site; or by natural events, such as floods, earthquakes, or groundwater fluctuations. *Always* contact the geotechnical engineer before applying the report to determine if it is still reliable. A minor amount of additional testing or analysis could prevent major problems.

Most Geotechnical Findings Are Professional Opinions

Site exploration identifies subsurface conditions only at those points where subsurface tests are conducted or samples are taken. Geotechnical engineers review field and laboratory data and then apply their professional judgment to render an opinion about subsurface conditions throughout the site. Actual subsurface conditions may differ-sometimes significantly from those indicated in your report. Retaining the geotechnical engineer who developed your report to provide construction observation is the most effective method of managing the risks associated with unanticipated conditions.

A Report's Recommendations Are Not Final

Do not overrely on the construction recommendations included in your report. *Those recommendations are not final*, because geotechnical engineers develop them principally from judgment and opinion. Geotechnical engineers can finalize their recommendations only by observing actual

subsurface conditions revealed during construction. The geotechnical engineer who developed your report cannot assume responsibility or liability for the report's recommendations if that engineer does not perform construction observation.

A Geotechnical Engineering Report Is Subject to Misinterpretation

Other design team members' misinterpretation of geotechnical engineering reports has resulted in costly problems. Lower that risk by having your geotechnical engineer confer with appropriate members of the design team after submitting the report. Also retain your geotechnical engineer to review pertinent elements of the design team's plans and specifications. Contractors can also misinterpret a geotechnical engineering report. Reduce that risk by having your geotechnical engineer participate in prebid and preconstruction conferences, and by providing construction observation.

Do Not Redraw the Engineer's Logs

Geotechnical engineers prepare final boring and testing logs based upon their interpretation of field logs and laboratory data. To prevent errors or omissions, the logs included in a geotechnical engineering report should *never* be redrawn for inclusion in architectural or other design drawings. Only photographic or electronic reproduction is acceptable, *but recognize that separating logs from the report can elevate risk.*

Give Contractors a Complete Report and Guidance

Some owners and design professionals mistakenly believe they can make contractors liable for unanticipated subsurface conditions by limiting what they provide for bid preparation. To help prevent costly problems, give contractors the complete geotechnical engineering report, *but* preface it with a clearly written letter of transmittal. In that letter, advise contractors that the report was not prepared for purposes of bid development and that the report's accuracy is limited; encourage them to confer with the geotechnical engineer who prepared the report (a modest fee may be required) and/or to conduct additional study to obtain the specific types of information they need or prefer. A prebid conference can also be valuable. *Be sure contractors have sufficient time* to perform additional study. Only then might you be in a position to give contractors the best information available to you, while requiring them to at least share some of the financial responsibilities stemming from unanticipated conditions.

Read Responsibility Provisions Closely

Some clients, design professionals, and contractors do not recognize that geotechnical engineering is far less exact than other engineering disciplines. This lack of understanding has created unrealistic expectations that have led

to disappointments, claims, and disputes. To help reduce the risk of such outcomes, geotechnical engineers commonly include a variety of explanatory provisions in their reports. Sometimes labeled "limitations" many of these provisions indicate where geotechnical engineers' responsibilities begin and end, to help others recognize their own responsibilities and risks. *Read these provisions closely.* Ask questions. Your geotechnical engineer should respond fully and frankly.

Geoenvironmental Concerns Are Not Covered

The equipment, techniques, and personnel used to perform a *geoenviron-mental* study differ significantly from those used to perform a *geotechnical* study. For that reason, a geotechnical engineering report does not usually relate any geoenvironmental findings, conclusions, or recommendations; e.g., about the likelihood of encountering underground storage tanks or regulated contaminants. *Unanticipated environmental problems have led to numerous project failures.* If you have not yet obtained your own geoenvironmental information, ask your geotechnical consultant for risk management guidance. *Do not rely on an environmental report prepared for someone else.*

Obtain Professional Assistance To Deal with Mold

Diverse strategies can be applied during building design, construction, operation, and maintenance to prevent significant amounts of mold from growing on indoor surfaces. To be effective, all such strategies should be devised for the express purpose of mold prevention, integrated into a comprehensive plan, and executed with diligent oversight by a professional mold prevention consultant. Because just a small amount of water or moisture can lead to the development of severe mold infestations, a number of mold prevention strategies focus on keeping building surfaces dry. While groundwater, water infiltration, and similar issues may have been addressed as part of the geotechnical engineering study whose findings are conveyed in-this report, the geotechnical engineer in charge of this project is not a mold prevention consultant: none of the services performed in connection with the geotechnical engineer's study were designed or conducted for the purpose of mold prevention. Proper implementation of the recommendations conveyed in this report will not of itself be sufficient to prevent mold from growing in or on the structure involved.

Rely on Your ASFE-Member Geotechnical Engineer For Additional Assistance

Membership in ASFE/The Best People on Earth exposes geotechnical engineers to a wide array of risk management techniques that can be of genuine benefit for everyone involved with a construction project. Confer with your ASFE-member geotechnical engineer for more information.



8811 Colesville Road/Suite G106, Silver Spring, MD 20910 Telephone:' 301/565-2733 Facsimile: 301/589-2017 e-mail: info@asfe.org www.asfe.org

Copyright 2004 by ASFE, Inc. Duplication, reproduction, or copying of this document, in whole or in part, by any means whatsoever, is strictly prohibited, except with ASFE's specific written permission. Excerpting, quoting, or otherwise extracting wording from this document is permitted only with the express written permission of ASFE, and only for purposes of scholarly research or book review. Only members of ASFE may use this document as a complement to or as an element of a geotechnical engineering report. Any other firm, individual, or other entity that so uses this document without being anASFE member could be committing negligent or intentional (fraudulent) misrepresentation.